

TWISTED SECTORS FOR TENSOR PRODUCT VERTEX OPERATOR ALGEBRAS ASSOCIATED TO PERMUTATION GROUPS

KATRINA BARRON, CHONGYING DONG, AND GEOFFREY MASON

ABSTRACT. Let V be a vertex operator algebra, and for k a positive integer, let g be a k -cycle permutation of the vertex operator algebra $V^{\otimes k}$. We prove that the categories of weak, weak admissible and ordinary g -twisted modules for the tensor product vertex operator algebra $V^{\otimes k}$ are isomorphic to the categories of weak, weak admissible and ordinary V -modules, respectively. The main result is an explicit construction of the weak g -twisted $V^{\otimes k}$ -modules from weak V -modules. For an arbitrary permutation automorphism g of $V^{\otimes k}$ the category of weak admissible g -twisted modules for $V^{\otimes k}$ is semisimple and the simple objects are determined if V is rational. In addition, we extend these results to the more general setting of γg -twisted $V^{\otimes k}$ -modules for γ a general automorphism of V acting diagonally on $V^{\otimes k}$ and a g a permutation automorphism of $V^{\otimes k}$.

1. INTRODUCTION

Orbifold theory and coset construction theory [GKO] are two important ways of constructing a new conformal field theory from a given one. The first orbifold conformal field theory was introduced in [FLM1] and the theory of orbifold conformal fields was subsequently developed, for example, in [DHVW1], [DHVW2], [FLM3], and [DVVV]. The first critical step in orbifold conformal field theory is to construct twisted sectors. In [Le1] and [FLM2], twisted sectors for finite automorphisms of even lattice vertex operator algebras were first constructed – the twisted vertex operators were constructed, and in [Le2] and [DL2], the twisted Jacobi identity was formulated and shown to hold for these operators. These results led to the introduction of the notion of g -twisted V -module [FFR], [D], for V a vertex operator algebra and g an automorphism of V . This notion records the properties obtained in [Le1], Section 3.3 of [FLM2], and [Le2], and provides an axiomatic definition of twisted sectors.

The focus of this paper is the construction of twisted sectors for permutation orbifold theory. Let V be a vertex operator algebra, and for a fixed positive integer k , consider the tensor product vertex operator algebra $V^{\otimes k}$ (see [FLM3], [FHL]). Any

1991 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 17B68, 17B69, 17B81, 81R10, 81T40 .

Key words and phrases. Vertex operator algebras, twisted sectors, permutation orbifold, conformal field theory.

The first author was supported by an NSF Mathematical Sciences Postdoctoral Research Fellowship and a University of California President's Postdoctoral Fellowship.

The second author was supported by NSF grant DMS-9700923 and a research grant from the Committee on Research, UC Santa Cruz.

The third author was supported by NSF grant DMS-9700909 and a research grant from the Committee on Research, UC Santa Cruz.

element g of the symmetric group S_k acts on $V^{\otimes k}$ in the obvious way, and thus it is appropriate to consider g -twisted $V^{\otimes k}$ -modules. This is the setting for permutation orbifolds. In the case of V a lattice vertex operator algebra, this becomes a special case of the more general result of [Le1], [Le2], [FLM2], and [DL2], mentioned above. Permutation orbifold theory has also been studied in the physics literature, e.g., in [KS] and [FKS], and in [BHS], the twisted vertex operators for the generators were given for affine, Virasoro, super-Virasoro and W_3 cyclic permutation orbifolds. The characters and modular properties of permutation orbifolds are presented in [Ba]. However, the construction of twisted sectors for general permutation orbifold theory and for arbitrary orbifold theory have been open problems. The main result of this paper is the explicit construction of twisted sectors for general permutation orbifold theory. In addition, for g a k -cycle, we show that the categories of weak, weak admissible and ordinary g -twisted $V^{\otimes k}$ -modules are isomorphic to the categories of weak, weak admissible and ordinary V -modules, respectively. (The definitions of weak, weak admissible and ordinary twisted modules are given in Section 3.) Our proof includes an explicit construction of the g -twisted $V^{\otimes k}$ -modules given a V -module. We show that for an arbitrary permutation automorphism g of $V^{\otimes k}$ the category of weak admissible g -twisted modules for $V^{\otimes k}$ is semisimple and the simple objects are determined if V is rational. In addition, we extend our results to the more general setting of γg -twisted $V^{\otimes k}$ -modules for γ a general automorphism of V acting diagonally on $V^{\otimes k}$ and g an arbitrary permutation automorphism of $V^{\otimes k}$. One can use our constructions to calculate the characters and perform modular transformations. Moreover, we expect that the methods introduced in this paper can be extended to construct twisted sectors for arbitrary orbifold theory.

We next point out some recent results and conjectures in the theory of vertex operator algebras with which we hope to put the results of this paper into perspective and show some of the motivation which led to our results. Let V be a vertex operator algebra and g an automorphism of V . In [DM] it is shown that given a weak V -module (M, Y) , one can define a new weak V -module $g \circ M$ such that $g \circ M = M$ as the underlying space and the vertex operator associated to v is given by $Y(gv, z)$. Then M is called g -stable if $g \circ M$ and M are isomorphic as weak V -modules. It is a well-known *conjecture* that if V is rational and g is of finite order, then the number of isomorphism classes of irreducible g -stable V -modules is finite and equal to the number of isomorphism classes of irreducible g -twisted V -modules. It is proved in [DLM3] that if V is rational and satisfies the C_2 condition, and g is of finite order, then the number of isomorphism classes of irreducible g -twisted modules is finite and less than or equal to the number of isomorphism classes of irreducible g -stable V -modules. Moreover, if V is also assumed to be g -rational, then the number of isomorphism classes of irreducible g -twisted V -modules is equal to the number of isomorphism classes of irreducible g -stable V -modules.

Now consider the tensor product vertex operator algebra $V^{\otimes k}$ as discussed above with g a k -cycle. From Proposition 4.7.2 and Theorem 4.7.4 in [FHL], it follows that the number of isomorphism classes of irreducible g -stable $V^{\otimes k}$ -modules is equal to the number of isomorphism classes of irreducible V -modules. Combining this result with the conjecture above, we have the conjecture that if V is rational and g is a k -cycle, then the number of isomorphism classes of irreducible g -twisted $V^{\otimes k}$ -modules is equal to the number of isomorphism classes of irreducible V -modules. Thus we see that the main result in this paper is actually a stronger result than this conjecture,

namely that the categories of weak, weak admissible and ordinary g -twisted $V^{\otimes k}$ -modules are in fact *isomorphic* to the categories of weak, weak admissible and ordinary V -modules, respectively, even without the assumption that V is rational.

To construct the isomorphism between the category of weak g -twisted $V^{\otimes k}$ -modules and the category of weak V -modules for g a k -cycle, we define a weak g -twisted $V^{\otimes k}$ -module structure on any weak V -module. Our construction has been motivated by the following two results.

The first motivating result is the modular invariance of the trace function in orbifold theory [DLM3]. If V is a holomorphic vertex operator algebra (see [DLM3]), then V is the only irreducible module for itself. Thus by Proposition 4.7.2 and Theorem 4.7.4 in [FHL], $V^{\otimes k}$ is the only irreducible g -stable $V^{\otimes k}$ -module. Following the notation and results of [DLM3], consider the symmetric group S_k as an automorphism group of $V^{\otimes k}$ and denote by $Z(x, y, \tau)$ the y -trace on the unique x -twisted $V^{\otimes k}$ -module $V^{\otimes k}(x)$ where $x, y \in S_k$ commute. Then the span of $Z(x, y, \tau)$ is modular invariant. Using the modular invariance result of [DLM3], one can show that the graded dimension of irreducible g -twisted $V^{\otimes k}$ -modules is exactly the graded dimension of V except that V_n is graded by n/k (plus a uniform shift) instead of n for $n \in \mathbb{Z}$. (This fact has also been observed and used in [DMVV] to study elliptic genera of symmetric products and second quantized strings.) This leads one to expect a g -twisted $V^{\otimes k}$ -module structure on V with the new gradation.

The second motivating result is the construction in [Li2] of certain g -twisted V -modules for g a certain automorphism of V . Let $h \in V_1$ such that the zero-mode operator h_0 for $Y(h, z)$ acts semisimply on V with only finitely many eigenvalues and such that these eigenvalues are rational. Then $e^{2\pi h_0 i}$ is an automorphism of V . Li's construction defines a new action on any V -module and this action gives a $e^{2\pi h_0 i}$ -twisted V -module structure on the original V -module. The main feature in the new action is an exponential operator $\Delta(z)$ built up from the component operators h_n of $Y(h, z)$ for $n \geq 0$. This kind of operator first appeared in the construction of twisted sectors for lattice vertex operator algebras [FLM2], [FLM3].

Now let M be a weak admissible V -module and let g be the k -cycle $g = (12 \cdots k)$. As suggested above from our first motivating result, one expects a weak g -twisted $V^{\otimes k}$ -module structure on M with some vertex operator $Y_g(v, z)$ for $v \in V^{\otimes k}$. From the twisted Jacobi identity one sees that the component operators of $Y_g(u \otimes \mathbf{1} \otimes \cdots \otimes \mathbf{1}, z)$ for $u \in V$ form a Lie algebra. In addition, the fact that $Y_g(gv, z) = \lim_{z^{1/k} \rightarrow \eta^{-1} z^{1/k}} Y_g(v, z)$, for $\eta = e^{-2\pi i/k}$, indicates that all the vertex operators $Y_g(v, z)$ for $v \in V^{\otimes k}$ are generated by $Y_g(u \otimes \mathbf{1} \otimes \cdots \otimes \mathbf{1}, z)$ for $u \in V$. Therefore, the key point is to define $Y_g(u \otimes \mathbf{1} \otimes \cdots \otimes \mathbf{1}, z)$ which is expected to be $Y(\Delta_k(z)u, z^{1/k})$ for some operator $\Delta_k(z) \in (\text{End } V)[[z^{1/k}, z^{-1/k}]]$ due to our second motivating result above. In [FLM2], [FLM3] and [Li2] an operator $\Delta(z)$ was introduced by using vertex operators associated to certain Heisenberg algebras. But for an arbitrary vertex operator algebra, we do not have a Heisenberg algebra available. So we must find another way to construct $\Delta(z)$. Note that such a construction should also work if V is the vertex operator algebra associated to the highest weight modules for the Virasoro algebra. Therefore in general, the only available operators with which we can construct $\Delta(z)$ are $L(n)$ for $n \in \mathbb{Z}$. And in fact, our operator $\Delta_k(z)$ is built up from $L(n)$ for $n \geq 0$ (see Section 2).

The paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, we define the operator $\Delta_k(z)$ on V and prove several important properties of $\Delta_k(z)$ which are needed in subsequent

sections. The main ideas for the proofs of these identities come from [H]. In Section 3, we define a weak g -twisted $V^{\otimes k}$ -module structure on any weak V -module M for $g = (12 \cdots k)$ by using the operator $\Delta_k(z)$. As a result we construct a functor T_g^k from the category of weak V -modules to the category of weak g -twisted $V^{\otimes k}$ -modules such that T_g^k maps weak admissible (resp., ordinary) V -modules into weak admissible (resp., ordinary) g -twisted $V^{\otimes k}$ -modules. In addition, T_g^k preserves irreducible objects. In Section 4, we define a weak V -module structure on any weak g -twisted $V^{\otimes k}$ -module. In so doing, we construct a functor U_g^k from the category of weak g -twisted $V^{\otimes k}$ -modules to the category of weak V -modules such that $T_g^k \circ U_g^k = id$ and $U_g^k \circ T_g^k = id$. In Section 5, we give the extension of the results of Section 3 and 4 for g a general k -cycle.

Section 6 is devoted to twisted modules for an arbitrary permutation $g \in S_k$. In particular we prove that if V is rational, then $V^{\otimes k}$ is g -rational. We also construct irreducible g -twisted $V^{\otimes k}$ -modules from irreducible V -modules.

In Section 7, we study various twisted modules for an automorphism of $V^{\otimes k}$ which is a product of a permutation and an automorphism of V . Here the automorphisms of V act on $V^{\otimes k}$ diagonally. For γ an automorphism of V , g the k -cycle $g = (12 \cdots k)$, and γg an automorphism of $V^{\otimes k}$, we show that the category of weak γg -twisted $V^{\otimes k}$ -modules is isomorphic to the category of weak γ^k -twisted V -modules and that this isomorphism preserves admissible, ordinary and irreducible objects. Finally we construct γg -twisted $V^{\otimes k}$ -modules from γ -twisted V -modules for g an arbitrary permutation and show that if V is γ -rational, then $V^{\otimes k}$ is γg -rational.

The authors thank James Lepowsky for pointing out some mistakes in earlier versions of this paper and for giving helpful comments on the paper's exposition. We would also like to thank Hirotaka Tamanoi for valuable discussions.

2. THE OPERATOR $\Delta_k(z)$

In this section we define an operator $\Delta_k(z) = \Delta_k^V(z)$ on a vertex operator algebra V for a fixed positive integer k . In Section 3, we will use $\Delta_k(z)$ to construct a g -twisted $V^{\otimes k}$ -module from a V -module where g is a certain k -cycle.

Let $\mathbb{Z}_+$ denote the positive integers. Let x, y, z, z_0 , and α_j for $j \in \mathbb{Z}_+$ be formal variables commuting with each other. Consider the polynomial

$$\frac{1}{k}(1+x)^k - \frac{1}{k} \in x\mathbb{C}[x].$$

By Proposition 2.1.1 in [H], for any formal power series $\sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}_+} c_j x^j \in x\mathbb{C}[[x]]$ there exist unique $a_j \in \mathbb{C}$ for $j \in \mathbb{Z}_+$ such that

$$\exp\left(-\sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}_+} a_j x^{j+1} \frac{\partial}{\partial x}\right) \cdot x = \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}_+} c_j x^j.$$

Thus for $k \in \mathbb{Z}_+$, we can define $a_j \in \mathbb{C}$ for $j \in \mathbb{Z}_+$, by

$$\exp\left(-\sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}_+} a_j x^{j+1} \frac{\partial}{\partial x}\right) \cdot x = \frac{1}{k}(1+x)^k - \frac{1}{k}.$$

For example, $a_1 = (1-k)/2$ and $a_2 = (k^2-1)/12$.

Let R be a ring, and let O be an invertible linear operator on $R[x, x^{-1}]$. We define another linear operator $O^{x \frac{\partial}{\partial x}}$ by

$$O^{x \frac{\partial}{\partial x}} \cdot x^n = O^n x^n$$

for any $n \in \mathbb{Z}$. For example, since $z^{1/k}$ can be thought of as an invertible linear multiplication operator $\mathbb{C}[x, x^{-1}]$, we have the operator $z^{(1/k)x \frac{\partial}{\partial x}}$ from $\mathbb{C}[x, x^{-1}]$ to $\mathbb{C}[z^{1/k}, z^{-1/k}][x, x^{-1}]$. Note that $z^{(1/k)x \frac{\partial}{\partial x}}$ can be extended to a linear operator on $\mathbb{C}[[x, x^{-1}]]$ in the obvious way.

Let

$$\begin{aligned} f(x) &= z^{1/k} \exp\left(-\sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}_+} a_j x^{j+1} \frac{\partial}{\partial x}\right) \cdot x \\ &= \exp\left(-\sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}_+} a_j x^{j+1} \frac{\partial}{\partial x}\right) \cdot z^{(1/k)x \frac{\partial}{\partial x}} \cdot x \\ &= \frac{z^{1/k}}{k} (1+x)^k - \frac{z^{1/k}}{k} \in z^{1/k} x \mathbb{C}[x]. \end{aligned}$$

Then the compositional inverse of $f(x)$ in $x \mathbb{C}[z^{-1/k}, z^{1/k}][[x]]$ is given by

$$\begin{aligned} f^{-1}(x) &= z^{-(1/k)x \frac{\partial}{\partial x}} \exp\left(\sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}_+} a_j x^{j+1} \frac{\partial}{\partial x}\right) \cdot x \\ &= z^{-1/k} \exp\left(\sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}_+} a_j z^{-j/k} x^{j+1} \frac{\partial}{\partial x}\right) \cdot x \\ &= (1 + k z^{-1/k} x)^{1/k} - 1 \end{aligned}$$

where the last line is considered as a formal power series in $z^{-1/k} x \mathbb{C}[z^{-1/k}][[x]]$, i.e., we are expanding about $x = 0$ taking $1^{1/k} = 1$.

Let $f_\alpha(x)$ denote the formal power series

$$f_\alpha(x) = z^{1/k} \exp\left(-\sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}_+} \alpha_j x^{j+1} \frac{\partial}{\partial x}\right) \cdot x \in z^{1/k} x + z^{1/k} x^2 \mathbb{C}[\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots][[x]].$$

Then

$$f_\alpha^{-1}(x) \in z^{-1/k} x + x^2 \mathbb{C}[x][z^{-1/k}][[\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots]],$$

and

$$f_\alpha(f_\alpha^{-1}(x) + z^{-1/k} y) - x \in \mathbb{C}[x][z^{1/k}, z^{-1/k}][[\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots]][[y]].$$

Furthermore, the coefficient of the monomial y in $f_\alpha(f_\alpha^{-1}(x) + z^{-1/k} y) - x$ is in $1 + x^2 \mathbb{C}[x][z^{1/k}, z^{-1/k}][[\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots]]$. Therefore, following [H], we can define

$$\Theta_j = \Theta_j(\{-\alpha_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{Z}_+}, z^{1/k}, x) \in \mathbb{C}[x][z^{1/k}, z^{-1/k}][[\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots]]$$

for $j \in \mathbb{N}$ by

$$e^{\Theta_0} \exp\left(\sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}_+} \Theta_j y^{j+1} \frac{\partial}{\partial y}\right) y = f_\alpha(f_\alpha^{-1}(x) + z^{-1/k} y) - x.$$

Proposition 2.1. $\Theta_j(\{-a_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{Z}_+}, z^{1/k}, \frac{1}{k}z^{1/k-1}z_0)$ for $j \in \mathbb{N}$ is well defined in $\mathbb{C}[z_0][[z^{-1/k}]]$. Furthermore

$$(2.1) \quad \Theta_j(\{-a_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{Z}_+}, z^{1/k}, \frac{1}{k}z^{1/k-1}z_0) = -a_j(z + z_0)^{-j/k}$$

for $j \in \mathbb{Z}_+$, and

$$(2.2) \quad \exp\left(\Theta_0(\{-a_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{Z}_+}, z^{1/k}, \frac{1}{k}z^{1/k-1}z_0)\right) = z^{1/k-1}(z + z_0)^{-1/k+1},$$

where $(z + z_0)^{-j/k}$ is understood to be expanded in nonnegative integral powers of z_0 .

Proof. By Lemma 4.3.4 in [H], the formal series $\Theta_j(\{-\alpha_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{Z}_+}, z^{1/k}, x)$ for $j \in \mathbb{N}$, are actually in $\mathbb{C}[x][\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots][[z^{-1/k}]]$. Therefore $\Theta_j(\{-a_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{Z}_+}, z^{1/k}, x)$ is well defined in $\mathbb{C}[x][[z^{-1/k}]]$, for $j \in \mathbb{N}$, and the first statement of the proposition follows.

In $y\mathbb{C}[z_0][[z^{-1/k}]][[y]]$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & z^{1/k-1}(z + z_0)^{-1/k+1} \exp\left(-\sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}_+} a_j(z + z_0)^{-j/k} y^{j+1} \frac{\partial}{\partial y}\right) \cdot y = \\ &= z^{1/k-1}(z + z_0)^{-1/k+1} (z + z_0)^{-(1/k)y \frac{\partial}{\partial y}} (z + z_0)^{1/k} \exp\left(-\sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}_+} a_j y^{j+1} \frac{\partial}{\partial y}\right) \cdot y \\ &= z^{-1}(z + z_0)(z + z_0)^{-(1/k)y \frac{\partial}{\partial y}} f(y) \\ &= z^{-1}(z + z_0) f((z + z_0)^{-1/k} y) \\ &= z^{-1}(z + z_0) \left(\frac{z^{1/k}}{k} (1 + (z + z_0)^{-1/k} y)^k - \frac{z^{1/k}}{k} \right) \\ &= \frac{z^{1/k}}{k} \left(z^{-1/k} y + z^{-1/k} (z + k z^{1-1/k} x)^{1/k} \right)^k - \frac{z^{1/k}}{k} - x \Big|_{x=\frac{1}{k} z^{1/k-1} z_0} \\ &= f(z^{-1/k} (z + k z^{1-1/k} x)^{1/k} - 1 + z^{-1/k} y) - x \Big|_{x=\frac{1}{k} z^{1/k-1} z_0} \\ &= f(f^{-1}(x) + z^{-1/k} y) - x \Big|_{x=\frac{1}{k} z^{1/k-1} z_0} \\ &= \exp\left(\Theta_0(\{-a_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{Z}_+}, z^{1/k}, x)\right) \cdot \\ & \quad \exp\left(\sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}_+} \Theta_j(\{-a_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{Z}_+}, z^{1/k}, x) y^{j+1} \frac{\partial}{\partial y}\right) \cdot y \Big|_{x=\frac{1}{k} z^{1/k-1} z_0}. \end{aligned}$$

Equations (2.1) and (2.2) follow. $\square$

Let $V = (V, Y, \mathbf{1}, \omega)$ be a vertex operator algebra. In $(\text{End } V)[[z^{1/k}, z^{-1/k}]]$, define

$$\Delta_k^V(z) = \exp\left(\sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}_+} a_j z^{-j/k} L(j)\right) k^{-L(0)} z^{(1/k-1)L(0)}.$$

Proposition 2.2. In $(\text{End } V)[[z^{1/k}, z^{-1/k}]]$, we have

$$\Delta_k^V(z) Y(u, z_0) \Delta_k^V(z)^{-1} = Y(\Delta_k^V(z + z_0) u, (z + z_0)^{1/k} - z^{1/k}),$$

for all $u \in V$.

Proof. By equation (5.4.10)¹ in [H] and Proposition 2.1 above, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\Delta_k^V(z)Y(u, z_0)\Delta_k^V(z)^{-1} &= \\
&= \exp\left(\sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}_+} a_j z^{-j/k} L(j)\right) Y(k^{-L(0)} z^{(1/k-1)L(0)} u, \frac{1}{k} z^{1/k-1} z_0) \cdot \\
&\quad \cdot \exp\left(-\sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}_+} a_j z^{-j/k} L(j)\right) \\
&= z^{1/k L(0)} \exp\left(\sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}_+} a_j L(j)\right) z^{-(1/k)L(0)} Y(k^{-L(0)} z^{(1/k-1)L(0)} u, \frac{1}{k} z^{1/k-1} z_0) \cdot \\
&\quad \cdot z^{(1/k)L(0)} \exp\left(-\sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}_+} a_j L(j)\right) z^{-(1/k)L(0)} \\
&= z^{(1/k)L(0)} Y\left(z^{-(1/k)L(0)} \exp\left(-\sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}_+} \Theta_j(\{-a_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{Z}_+}, z^{1/k}, \frac{1}{k} z^{1/k-1} z_0) L(j)\right) \cdot \right. \\
&\quad \cdot \exp\left(-\Theta_0(\{-a_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{Z}_+}, z^{1/k}, \frac{1}{k} z^{1/k-1} z_0) L(0)\right) \cdot k^{-L(0)} z^{(1/k-1)L(0)} u, \\
&\quad \left. f^{-1}\left(\frac{1}{k} z^{1/k-1} z_0\right)\right) z^{-(1/k)L(0)} \\
&= z^{(1/k)L(0)} Y\left(z^{-(1/k)L(0)} \exp\left(\sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}_+} a_j (z + z_0)^{-j/k} L(j)\right) z^{-(1/k-1)L(0)} \cdot \right. \\
&\quad \cdot (z + z_0)^{(1/k-1)L(0)} \cdot k^{-L(0)} z^{(1/k-1)L(0)} u, (1 + z^{-1} z_0)^{1/k} - 1 \Big) z^{-(1/k)L(0)} \\
&= z^{(1/k)L(0)} Y\left(z^{-(1/k)L(0)} \Delta_k^V(z + z_0) u, (1 + z^{-1} z_0)^{1/k} - 1\right) z^{-(1/k)L(0)} \\
&= Y\left(\Delta_k^V(z + z_0) u, (z + z_0)^{1/k} - z^{1/k}\right)
\end{aligned}$$

as desired. $\square$

Define $\Delta_k^x(z) \in (\text{End } \mathbb{C}[x, x^{-1}])[[z^{1/k}, z^{-1/k}]]$ by

$$\Delta_k^x(z) = \exp\left(-\sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}_+} a_j z^{-j/k} x^{j+1} \frac{\partial}{\partial x}\right) k^{x \frac{\partial}{\partial x}} z^{(-1/k+1)x \frac{\partial}{\partial x}}.$$

Proposition 2.3. *In $(\text{End } \mathbb{C}[x, x^{-1}])[[z^{1/k}, z^{-1/k}]]$, we have*

$$(2.3) \quad -\Delta_k^x(z) \frac{\partial}{\partial x} + \frac{1}{k} z^{1/k-1} \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \Delta_k^x(z) = \frac{\partial}{\partial z} \Delta_k^x(z),$$

$$(2.4) \quad -\Delta_k^x(z)^{-1} \frac{\partial}{\partial x} + k z^{-1/k+1} \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \Delta_k^x(z)^{-1} = k z^{-1/k+1} \frac{\partial}{\partial z} \Delta_k^x(z)^{-1}.$$

¹There is a typo in this equation in [H]. $A^{(0)}$ in the first line of equation (5.4.10) should be $A^{(1)}$ which is the infinite series $\{A_j^{(1)}\}_{j \in \mathbb{Z}_+}$, where $A_j^{(1)} \in \mathbb{C}$. In our case, $A_j^{(1)} = -a_j$.

Proof. In $\mathbb{C}[x, x^{-1}][[z^{1/k}, z^{-1/k}]]$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& -\Delta_k^x(z) \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \cdot x + \frac{1}{k} z^{1/k-1} \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \Delta_k^x(z) \cdot x = \\
& = -1 + \frac{1}{k} z^{1/k-1} \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \left(k z^{-1/k+1} z^{-(1/k)x} \frac{\partial}{\partial x} z^{1/k} \exp \left(- \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}_+} a_j x^{j+1} \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \right) \cdot x \right) \\
& = -1 + \frac{1}{k} z^{1/k-1} \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \left(k z^{-1/k+1} z^{-(1/k)x} \frac{\partial}{\partial x} f(x) \right) \\
& = -1 + \frac{1}{k} z^{1/k-1} k z^{-1/k+1} \frac{\partial}{\partial x} f(z^{-1/k} x) \\
& = -1 + z^{-1/k} f'(z^{-1/k} x) \\
& = -1 + (1 + z^{-1/k} x)^{k-1} \\
& = -1 + (1 + z^{-1/k} x)^k - z^{-1/k} x (1 + z^{-1/k} x)^{k-1} \\
& = (-1 + k) z^{-1/k} \left(\frac{z^{1/k}}{k} (1 + z^{-1/k} x)^k - \frac{z^{1/k}}{k} \right) \\
& \quad + k z^{-1/k+1} \frac{\partial}{\partial z} \left(\frac{z^{1/k}}{k} (1 + z^{-1/k} x)^k - \frac{z^{1/k}}{k} \right) \\
& = k \left(-\frac{1}{k} + 1 \right) z^{-1/k} f(z^{-1/k} x) + k z^{-1/k+1} \frac{\partial}{\partial z} f(z^{-1/k} x) \\
& = \frac{\partial}{\partial z} \left(k z^{-1/k+1} f(z^{-1/k} x) \right) \\
& = \frac{\partial}{\partial z} \left(k z^{-1/k+1} z^{-(1/k)x} \frac{\partial}{\partial x} f(x) \right) \\
& = \frac{\partial}{\partial z} \left(k z^{-1/k+1} z^{-(1/k)x} \frac{\partial}{\partial x} z^{1/k} \exp \left(- \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}_+} a_j x^{j+1} \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \right) \cdot x \right) \\
& = \frac{\partial}{\partial z} \left(k z^{-1/k+1} \exp \left(- \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}_+} a_j z^{-j/k} x^{j+1} \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \right) \cdot x \right) \\
& = \frac{\partial}{\partial z} \Delta_k^x(z) \cdot x.
\end{aligned}$$

Since $\Delta_k^x(z) \cdot x^n$ is well defined in $\mathbb{C}[x, x^{-1}][[z^{1/k}, z^{-1/k}]]$ for all $n \in \mathbb{Z}$, by Proposition 2.1.7 in [H], we have

$$\Delta_k^x(z_2) \cdot x^n = (\Delta_k^x(z_2) \cdot x)^n$$

for all $n \in \mathbb{Z}$. Therefore

$$\begin{aligned}
& -\Delta_k^x(z) \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \cdot x^n + \frac{1}{k} z^{1/k-1} \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \Delta_k^x(z) \cdot x^n = \\
& = -n \Delta_k^x(z) \cdot x^{n-1} \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \cdot x + \frac{1}{k} z^{1/k-1} \frac{\partial}{\partial x} (\Delta_k^x(z) \cdot x)^n \\
& = -n (\Delta_k^x(z) \cdot x^{n-1}) \left(\Delta_k^x(z) \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \cdot x \right) \\
& \quad + n \frac{1}{k} z^{1/k-1} (\Delta_k^x(z) \cdot x)^{n-1} \frac{\partial}{\partial x} (\Delta_k^x(z) \cdot x) \\
& = n (\Delta_k^x(z) \cdot x)^{n-1} \left(-\Delta_k^x(z) \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \cdot x + \frac{1}{k} z^{1/k-1} \frac{\partial}{\partial x} (\Delta_k^x(z) \cdot x) \right) \\
& = n (\Delta_k^x(z) \cdot x)^{n-1} \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial z} (\Delta_k^x(z) \cdot x) \right) \\
& = \frac{\partial}{\partial z} (\Delta_k^x(z) \cdot x)^n \\
& = \frac{\partial}{\partial z} (\Delta_k^x(z) \cdot x^n)
\end{aligned}$$

for all $n \in \mathbb{Z}$. Equation (2.3) follows by linearity.

Similarly, in $\mathbb{C}[x, x^{-1}][[z^{1/k}, z^{-1/k}]]$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& -\Delta_k^x(z)^{-1} \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \cdot x + k z^{-1/k+1} \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \Delta_k^x(z)^{-1} \cdot x = \\
& = -1 + k z^{-1/k+1} \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \left(z^{(1/k-1)x} k^{\frac{\partial}{\partial x}} k^{-x \frac{\partial}{\partial x}} \exp \left(\sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}_+} a_j z^{-j/k} x^{j+1} \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \right) \cdot x \right) \\
& = -1 + k z^{-1/k+1} \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \left(z^{(1/k-1)x} k^{\frac{\partial}{\partial x}} k^{-x \frac{\partial}{\partial x}} z^{1/k} f^{-1}(x) \right) \\
& = -1 + k z \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \left(f^{-1}(z^{1/k-1} k^{-1} x) \right) \\
& = -1 + k z \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \left((1 + z^{-1} x)^{1/k} - 1 \right) \\
& = -1 + (1 + z^{-1} x)^{1/k-1} \\
& = (1 + z^{-1} x)^{1/k} - 1 - z^{-1} x (1 + z^{-1} x)^{1/k-1} \\
& = k z^{-1/k+1} \left(\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial z} z^{1/k} \right) \left((1 + z^{-1} x)^{1/k} - 1 \right) + z^{1/k} \frac{\partial}{\partial z} \left((1 + z^{-1} x)^{1/k} - 1 \right) \right) \\
& = k z^{-1/k+1} \frac{\partial}{\partial z} \left(z^{1/k} f^{-1}(z^{1/k-1} k^{-1} x) \right) \\
& = k z^{-1/k+1} \frac{\partial}{\partial z} \left(z^{(1/k-1)x} k^{\frac{\partial}{\partial x}} k^{-x \frac{\partial}{\partial x}} z^{1/k} f^{-1}(x) \right) \\
& = k z^{-1/k+1} \frac{\partial}{\partial z} \left(z^{(1/k-1)x} k^{\frac{\partial}{\partial x}} k^{-x \frac{\partial}{\partial x}} \exp \left(\sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}_+} a_j z^{-j/k} x^{j+1} \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \right) \cdot x \right) \\
& = k z^{-1/k+1} \frac{\partial}{\partial z} \Delta_k^x(z)^{-1} \cdot x.
\end{aligned}$$

The proof of identity (2.4) on x^n for $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ is analogous to the proof of identity (2.3) on x^n for $n \in \mathbb{Z}$. Identity (2.4) then follows by linearity. $\square$

Let $\mathfrak{L}$ be the Virasoro algebra with basis L_j , $j \in \mathbb{Z}$, and central charge $d \in \mathbb{C}$. The above identity can be thought of as an identity for the representation of the Virasoro algebra on $\mathbb{C}[x, x^{-1}]$ given by $L_j \mapsto -x^{j+1} \frac{\partial}{\partial x}$, for $j \in \mathbb{Z}$, with central charge equal to zero. We want to prove the corresponding identity for certain other representations of the Virasoro algebra, in particular for vertex operator algebras. We do this by following the method of proof used in Chapter 4 of [H]. Letting κ be another formal variable commuting with z and $\mathfrak{L}$, we first prove the identity in $\mathcal{U}_\Pi(\mathfrak{L})[[z^{1/k}, z^{-1/k}]][[\kappa, \kappa^{-1}]]$ where $\mathcal{U}_\Pi(\mathfrak{L})$ is a certain extension of the universal enveloping algebra for the Virasoro algebra, and then letting $\kappa = k$, the identity will follow in $(\text{End } V)[[z^{1/k}, z^{-1/k}]]$ where V is a certain type of module for the Virasoro algebra.

We want to construct an extension of $\mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{L})$, the universal enveloping algebra for the Virasoro algebra, in which κ^{-L_0} and $z^{(1/k-1)L_0}$ can be defined. Let V_Π be a vector space over $\mathbb{C}$ with basis $\{P_j : j \in \mathbb{Z}\}$. Let $\mathcal{T}(\mathfrak{L} \oplus V_\Pi)$ be the tensor algebra generated by the direct sum of $\mathfrak{L}$ and V_Π , and let $\mathcal{I}$ be the ideal of $\mathcal{T}(\mathfrak{L} \oplus V_\Pi)$ generated by

$$\left\{ L_i \otimes L_j - L_j \otimes L_i - [L_i, L_j], L_i \otimes d - d \otimes L_i, P_i \otimes P_j - \delta_{ij} P_i, \right. \\ \left. P_i \otimes d - d \otimes P_i, P_i \otimes L_j - L_j \otimes P_{i+j} : i, j \in \mathbb{Z} \right\}.$$

Define $\mathcal{U}_\Pi(\mathfrak{L}) = \mathcal{T}(\mathfrak{L} \oplus V_\Pi)/\mathcal{I}$. For any formal variable z and for $n \in \mathbb{Z}$, we define

$$z^{nL_0} = \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} P_j z^{nj} \in \mathcal{U}_\Pi(\mathfrak{L})[[z, z^{-1}]].$$

Note κ^{-L_0} and $z^{(1/k-1)L_0}$ are well-defined elements of $\mathcal{U}_\Pi(\mathfrak{L})[[z^{1/k}, z^{-1/k}]][[\kappa, \kappa^{-1}]]$.

In $\mathcal{U}_\Pi(\mathfrak{L})[[z^{1/k}, z^{-1/k}]][[\kappa, \kappa^{-1}]]$, define

$$\Delta_k^\mathfrak{L}(z) = \exp \left(\sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}_+} a_j z^{-j/k} L_j \right) \kappa^{-L_0} z^{(1/k-1)L_0}.$$

Proposition 2.4. *In $\mathcal{U}_\Pi(\mathfrak{L})[[z^{1/k}, z^{-1/k}]][[\kappa, \kappa^{-1}]]$, we have*

$$(2.5) \quad \Delta_k^\mathfrak{L}(z) L_{-1} - \frac{1}{\kappa} z^{1/k-1} L_{-1} \Delta_k^\mathfrak{L}(z) = \frac{\partial}{\partial z} \Delta_k^\mathfrak{L}(z),$$

$$(2.6) \quad \Delta_k^\mathfrak{L}(z)^{-1} L_{-1} - \kappa z^{-1/k+1} L_{-1} \Delta_k^\mathfrak{L}(z)^{-1} = k z^{-1/k+1} \frac{\partial}{\partial z} \Delta_k^\mathfrak{L}(z)^{-1}.$$

Proof. In $\mathcal{U}_\Pi(\mathfrak{L})[[z^{1/k}, z^{-1/k}]][[\kappa, \kappa^{-1}]]$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta_k^\mathfrak{L}(z)L_{-1} - \frac{1}{\kappa}z^{1/k-1}L_{-1}\Delta_k^\mathfrak{L}(z) &= \\ &= \frac{1}{\kappa}z^{1/k-1} \left[e^{\sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}_+} a_j z^{-j/k} L_j}, L_{-1} \right] \kappa^{-L_0} z^{(1/k-1)L_0} \\ &= \frac{1}{\kappa}z^{1/k-1} \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}_+} \frac{1}{n!} \left(\sum_{j_1, \dots, j_n \in \mathbb{Z}_+} a_{j_1} \cdots a_{j_n} z^{-(j_1 + \dots + j_n)/k} \right. \\ &\quad \left. \left(\sum_{i=1, \dots, n} L_{j_1} L_{j_2} \cdots L_{j_{i-1}} [L_{j_i}, L_{-1}] L_{j_{i+1}} \cdots L_{j_n} \right) \right) \kappa^{-L_0} z^{(1/k-1)L_0} \end{aligned}$$

which is a well-defined element of $\mathcal{U}_\Pi(\mathfrak{L})[[z^{1/k}, z^{-1/k}]][[\kappa, \kappa^{-1}]]$ involving only elements L_j with $j \in \mathbb{N}$. The right-hand side of (2.5) also involves only L_j for $j \in \mathbb{N}$. Thus comparing with the identity (2.3) for the representation $L_j \mapsto -x^{j+1} \frac{\partial}{\partial x}$, the identity (2.5) must hold. The proof of (2.6) is analogous. $\square$

Let V be a module for the Virasoro algebra satisfying $V = \coprod_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} V_n$. For $j \in \mathbb{Z}$, let $L(j) \in \text{End } V$ and $c \in \mathbb{C}$ be the representation images of L_j and d , respectively, for the Virasoro algebra. Assume that for $v \in V_n$, we have $L(0)v = nv$. For any formal variable z , define $z^{jL(0)} \in (\text{End } V)[[z, z^{-1}]]$ by

$$z^{jL(0)}v = z^{jn}v$$

for $v \in V_n$. Or equivalently, let $P(n) : V \rightarrow V_n$ be the projection from V to the homogeneous subspace of weight n for $n \in \mathbb{Z}$. Then

$$z^{jL(0)}v = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} z^{jn} P(n)v$$

for $v \in V$. The elements $P(n) \in \text{End } V$ can be thought of as the representation images of P_n in the algebra $\mathcal{U}_\Pi(\mathfrak{L})$.

Note that for k a positive integer, $k^{-L(0)}$ is a well-defined element of $\text{End } V$ and $z^{(1/k-1)L(0)}$ is a well-defined element of $(\text{End } V)[[z^{1/k}, z^{-1/k}]]$.

In $(\text{End } V)[[z^{1/k}, z^{-1/k}]]$, define

$$(2.7) \quad \Delta_k^V(z) = \exp \left(\sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}_+} a_j z^{-j/k} L(j) \right) k^{-L(0)} z^{(1/k-1)L(0)}.$$

From Proposition 4.1.1 in [H] and Proposition 2.4, we obtain the following corollary.

Corollary 2.5. *In $(\text{End } V)[[z^{1/k}, z^{-1/k}]]$, we have*

$$(2.8) \quad \Delta_k^V(z)L(-1) - \frac{1}{k}z^{1/k-1}L(-1)\Delta_k^V(z) = \frac{\partial}{\partial z}\Delta_k^V(z),$$

$$(2.9) \quad \Delta_k^V(z)^{-1}L(-1) - kz^{-1/k+1}L(-1)\Delta_k^V(z)^{-1} = kz^{-1/k+1}\frac{\partial}{\partial z}\Delta_k^V(z)^{-1}.$$

In particular, the identities hold for V being any vertex operator algebra.

3. THE TWISTED SECTOR FOR $g = (12 \cdots k)$

We first review the definitions of weak, weak admissible and ordinary g -twisted modules for a vertex operator algebra V and an automorphism g of V of finite order k (cf. [DLM1]–[DLM3]).

Let $(V, Y, \mathbf{1}, \omega)$ be a vertex operator algebra. A *weak g -twisted V -module* is a $\mathbb{C}$ -linear space M equipped with a linear map $V \rightarrow (\text{End } M)[[z^{1/k}, z^{-1/k}]]$, given by $v \mapsto Y_M(v, z) = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Q}} v_n z^{-n-1}$, such that for $u, v \in V$ and $w \in M$ the following hold: (1) $v_m w = 0$ if m is sufficiently large; (2) $Y_M(\mathbf{1}, z) = 1$; (3) $Y_M(v, z) = \sum_{n \in r/k + \mathbb{Z}} v_n z^{-n-1}$ for $v \in V^r$ where $V^r = \{v \in V | gv = e^{-2\pi i r/k} v\}$; (4) the twisted Jacobi identity holds: for $u \in V^r$

$$\begin{aligned} & z_0^{-1} \delta \left(\frac{z_1 - z_2}{z_0} \right) Y_M(u, z_1) Y_M(v, z_2) - z_0^{-1} \delta \left(\frac{z_2 - z_1}{-z_0} \right) Y_M(v, z_2) Y_M(u, z_1) \\ (3.1) \quad & = z_2^{-1} \left(\frac{z_1 - z_0}{z_2} \right)^{-r/k} \delta \left(\frac{z_1 - z_0}{z_2} \right) Y_M(Y(u, z_0)v, z_2). \end{aligned}$$

It can be shown (cf. Lemma 2.2 of [DLM1], and [DLM2]) that $Y_M(\omega, z)$ has component operators which satisfy the Virasoro algebra relations and $Y_M(L(-1)u, z) = \frac{d}{dz} Y_M(u, z)$. If we take $g = 1$, then we obtain a weak V -module.

A *weak admissible g -twisted V -module* is a weak g -twisted V -module M which carries a $\frac{1}{k}\mathbb{Z}_+$ -grading

$$(3.2) \quad M = \bigoplus_{n \in \frac{1}{k}\mathbb{Z}_+} M(n)$$

such that $v_m M(n) \subseteq M(n + \text{wt } v - m - 1)$ for homogeneous $v \in V$. We may assume that $M(0) \neq 0$ if $M \neq 0$. If $g = 1$, we have a weak admissible V -module.

Remark 3.1. Above we used the term “weak admissible g -twisted module” whereas in most of the literature (cf. [DLM1], [Z]) the term “admissible g -twisted module” is used for this notion. We used the qualifier “weak” to stress that these are indeed only weak modules and in general are not ordinary modules. However, for the sake of brevity, we will now drop the qualifier “weak”.

An (ordinary) g -twisted V -module is a weak g -twisted V -module M graded by $\mathbb{C}$ induced by the spectrum of $L(0)$. That is, we have

$$(3.3) \quad M = \prod_{\lambda \in \mathbb{C}} M_\lambda$$

where $M_\lambda = \{w \in M | L(0)w = \lambda w\}$. Moreover we require that $\dim M_\lambda$ is finite and $M_{n/k+\lambda} = 0$ for fixed λ and for all sufficiently small integers n . If $g = 1$ we have an ordinary V -module.

The vertex operator algebra V is called *g -rational* if every admissible g -twisted V -module is completely reducible, i.e., a direct sum of irreducible admissible g -twisted modules. It was proved in [DLM2] that if V is g -rational then: (1) every irreducible admissible g -twisted V -module is an ordinary g -twisted V -module; and (2) V has only finitely many isomorphism classes of irreducible admissible g -twisted modules.

Now we turn our attention to tensor product vertex operator algebras. Let $V = (V, Y, \mathbf{1}, \omega)$ be a vertex operator algebra and k a fixed positive integer as in Section 2. Then $V^{\otimes k}$ is also a vertex operator algebra (see [FHL]), and the permutation group S_k acts naturally on $V^{\otimes k}$ as automorphisms. Let $g = (12 \cdots k)$.

In this section we construct a functor T_g^k from the category of weak V -modules to the category of weak g -twisted modules for $V^{\otimes k}$. We do this by first defining g -twisted vertex operators on a weak V -module M for a set of generators which are mutually local (see [Li2]). These g -twisted vertex operators generate a local system which is a vertex algebra. We then construct a homomorphism of vertex algebras from $V^{\otimes k}$ to this local system which thus gives a weak g -twisted $V^{\otimes k}$ -module structure on M .

For $v \in V$ denote by $v^j \in V^{\otimes k}$ the vector whose j -th tensor factor is v and whose other tensor factors are $\mathbf{1}$. Then $gv^j = v^{j+1}$ for $j = 1, \dots, k$ where $k+1$ is understood to be 1. Let W be a weak g -twisted $V^{\otimes k}$ -module, and let $\eta = e^{-2\pi i/k}$. Then it follows immediately from the definition of twisted module that the g -twisted vertex operators on W satisfy

$$Y_g(v^{j+1}, z) = \lim_{z^{1/k} \rightarrow \eta^{-j} z^{1/k}} Y_g(v^1, z).$$

Since $V^{\otimes k}$ is generated by v^j for $v \in V$ and $j = 1, \dots, k$, the vertex operators $Y_g(v^1, z)$ for $v \in V$ determine all the vertex operators $Y_g(u, z)$ on W for any $u \in V^{\otimes k}$. This observation is very important in our construction of twisted sectors.

Let $u, v \in V$. Then by (3.1) the twisted Jacobi identity for $Y_g(u^1, z_1)$ and $Y_g(v^1, z_2)$ is

$$\begin{aligned} & z_0^{-1} \delta \left(\frac{z_1 - z_2}{z_0} \right) Y_g(u^1, z_1) Y_g(v^1, z_2) - z_0^{-1} \delta \left(\frac{z_2 - z_1}{-z_0} \right) Y_g(v^1, z_2) Y_g(u^1, z_1) \\ (3.4) \quad &= \frac{1}{k} z_2^{-1} \sum_{j=0}^{k-1} \delta \left(\eta^j \frac{(z_1 - z_0)^{1/k}}{z_2^{1/k}} \right) Y_g(Y(g^j u^1, z_0) v^1, z_2) \end{aligned}$$

(cf. [Le2], [D]). Since $g^j u^1 = u^{j+1}$, we see that $Y(g^j u^1, z_0) v^1$ only involves non-negative integer powers of z_0 unless $j = 0 \pmod{k}$. Thus

$$(3.5) \quad [Y_g(u^1, z_1), Y_g(v^1, z_2)] = \text{Res}_{z_0} \frac{1}{k} z_2^{-1} \delta \left(\frac{(z_1 - z_0)^{1/k}}{z_2^{1/k}} \right) Y_g(Y(u^1, z_0) v^1, z_2).$$

This shows that the component operators of $Y_g(u^1, z)$ for $u \in V$ on W form a Lie algebra.

Now let $M = (M, Y)$ be a weak V -module. For $u \in V$ and $\Delta_k(z) = \Delta_k^V(z)$ given by (2.7), define

$$\bar{Y}(u, z) = Y(\Delta_k(z)u, z^{1/k}).$$

When we put a weak g -twisted $V^{\otimes k}$ -module structure on M this $\bar{Y}(u, z)$ will be the twisted vertex operator acting on M associated to u^1 . Here we give several examples of $\bar{Y}(u, z)$.

If $u \in V_n$ is a highest weight vector then $\Delta_k(z)u = k^{-n} z^{(1/k-1)n} u$ and

$$\bar{Y}(u, z) = k^{-n} z^{(1/k-1)n} Y(u, z^{1/k}).$$

In particular, if $n = 1$ we have

$$\bar{Y}(u, z) = k^{-1} z^{1/k-1} Y(u, z^{1/k}).$$

This case is important in the study of symmetric orbifold theory for the vertex operator algebras associated to affine Lie algebras. Now we take $u = \omega$. Recall that

$a_2 = (k^2 - 1)/12$. Thus

$$\begin{aligned}\Delta_k(z)\omega &= \frac{z^{2(1/k-1)}}{k^2} \left(\omega + a_2 \frac{c}{2} z^{-2/k} \right) \\ &= \frac{z^{2(1/k-1)}}{k^2} \left(\omega + \frac{(k^2 - 1)c}{24} z^{-2/k} \right)\end{aligned}$$

where c is the central charge. Therefore

$$(3.6) \quad \bar{Y}(\omega, z) = \frac{z^{2(1/k-1)}}{k^2} Y(\omega, z^{1/k}) + \frac{(k^2 - 1)c}{24k^2} z^{-2}.$$

We next study the properties of the operators $\bar{Y}(u, z)$.

Lemma 3.2. *For $u \in V$*

$$\bar{Y}(L(-1)u, z) = \frac{d}{dz} \bar{Y}(u, z).$$

Proof. By Corollary 2.5, we have

$$\begin{aligned}\bar{Y}(L(-1)u, z) &= Y(\Delta_k(z)L(-1)u, z^{1/k}) \\ &= Y\left(\frac{d}{dz}\Delta_k(z)u, z^{1/k}\right) + \frac{1}{k}z^{1/k-1}Y(L(-1)\Delta_k(z)u, z^{1/k}) \\ &= Y\left(\frac{d}{dz}\Delta_k(z)u, z^{1/k}\right) + \frac{1}{k}z^{1/k-1}\frac{d}{dx}Y(\Delta_k(z)u, x)\Big|_{x=z^{1/k}} \\ &= Y\left(\frac{d}{dz}\Delta_k(z)u, z^{1/k}\right) + \frac{d}{dx}Y(\Delta_k(z)u, x^{1/k})\Big|_{x=z} \\ &= \frac{d}{dz}Y(\Delta_k(z)u, z^{1/k}) \\ &= \frac{d}{dz}\bar{Y}(u, z)\end{aligned}$$

as desired. $\square$

In the proof of the following lemma and again later on, we will need some properties of the δ -function. We first note that from Proposition 8.8.22 of [FLM3], for $p \in \mathbb{Z}$ we have

$$(3.7) \quad z_2^{-1} \left(\frac{z_1 - z_0}{z_2} \right)^{-p/k} \delta \left(\frac{z_1 - z_0}{z_2} \right) = z_1^{-1} \left(\frac{z_2 + z_0}{z_1} \right)^{p/k} \delta \left(\frac{z_2 + z_0}{z_1} \right),$$

and it is easy to see that

$$(3.8) \quad \sum_{p=0}^{k-1} \left(\frac{z_1 - z_0}{z_2} \right)^{p/k} z_2^{-1} \delta \left(\frac{z_1 - z_0}{z_2} \right) = z_2^{-1} \delta \left(\frac{(z_1 - z_0)^{1/k}}{z_2^{1/k}} \right).$$

Therefore, we have the δ -function identity

$$(3.9) \quad z_2^{-1} \delta \left(\frac{(z_1 - z_0)^{1/k}}{z_2^{1/k}} \right) = z_1^{-1} \delta \left(\frac{(z_2 + z_0)^{1/k}}{z_1^{1/k}} \right).$$

Lemma 3.3. *For $u, v \in V$*

$$[\bar{Y}(u, z_1), \bar{Y}(v, z_2)] = \text{Res}_{z_0} \frac{1}{k} z_2^{-1} \delta \left(\frac{(z_1 - z_0)^{1/k}}{z_2^{1/k}} \right) \bar{Y}(Y(u, z_0)v, z_2).$$

Proof. Replacing $Y(u, z_1)$ and $Y(v, z_2)$ by $Y(\Delta_k(z_1)u, z_1^{1/k})$ and $Y(\Delta_k(z_2)v, z_2^{1/k})$, respectively, in the commutator formula

$$(3.10) \quad [Y(u, z_1), Y(v, z_2)] = \text{Res}_x z_2^{-1} \delta\left(\frac{z_1 - x}{z_2}\right) Y(Y(u, x)v, z_2)$$

which is a consequence of the Jacobi identity on M , we have

$$(3.11) \quad [\bar{Y}(u, z_1), \bar{Y}(v, z_2)] = \text{Res}_x z_2^{-1/k} \delta\left(\frac{z_1^{1/k} - x}{z_2^{1/k}}\right) Y(Y(\Delta_k(z_1)u, x)\Delta_k(z_2)v, z_2^{1/k}).$$

We want to make the change of variable $x = z_1^{1/k} - (z_1 - z_0)^{1/k}$ where by $z_1^{1/k} - (z_1 - z_0)^{1/k}$ we mean the power series expansion in positive powers of z_0 . In this case, we note that for $n \in \mathbb{Z}$

$$\begin{aligned} (z_1^{1/k} - x)^n \Big|_{x=z_1^{1/k}-(z_1-z_0)^{1/k}} &= \\ &= \sum_{m \in \mathbb{N}} \binom{n}{m} (-1)^m z_1^{n/k-m/k} \left(- \sum_{l \in \mathbb{Z}_+} \binom{1/k}{l} z_1^{1/k-l} (-1)^l z_0^l \right)^m \\ &= \sum_{m \in \mathbb{N}} \binom{n}{m} (-1)^m z_1^{n/k} \left(- \sum_{l \in \mathbb{Z}_+} \binom{1/k}{l} \left(\frac{-z_0}{z_1} \right)^l \right)^m \\ &= z_1^{n/k} \left(1 + \sum_{l \in \mathbb{Z}_+} \binom{1/k}{l} \left(\frac{-z_0}{z_1} \right)^l \right)^n \\ &= z_1^{n/k} \left(1 - \frac{z_0}{z_1} \right)^{n/k} \\ &= (z_1 - z_0)^{n/k}. \end{aligned}$$

Thus substituting $x = z_1^{1/k} - (z_1 - z_0)^{1/k}$ into

$$z_2^{-1/k} \delta\left(\frac{z_1^{1/k} - x}{z_2^{1/k}}\right) Y(Y(\Delta_k(z_1)u, x)\Delta_k(z_2)v, z_2^{1/k})$$

we have a well-defined power series given by

$$\delta\left(\frac{(z_1 - z_0)^{1/k}}{z_2^{1/k}}\right) Y(Y(\Delta_k(z_1)u, z_1^{1/k} - (z_1 - z_0)^{1/k})\Delta_k(z_2)v, z_2^{1/k}).$$

Let $f(z_1, z_2, x)$ be a complex analytic function in z_1, z_2 , and x , and let $h(z_1, z_2, z_0)$ be a complex analytic function in z_1, z_2 , and z_0 . Then if $f(z_1, z_2, h(z_1, z_2, z_0))$ is well defined, and thinking of z_1 and z_2 as fixed, i.e., considering $f(z_1, z_2, h(z_1, z_2, z_0))$ as a Laurent series in z_0 , by the residue theorem of complex analysis, we have

$$(3.12) \quad \text{Res}_x f(z_1, z_2, x) = \text{Res}_{z_0} \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial z_0} h(z_1, z_2, z_0) \right) f(z_1, z_2, h(z_1, z_2, z_0))$$

which of course remains true for f and h formal power series in their respective variables. Thus making the change of variable $x = h(z_1, z_2, z_0) = z_1^{1/k} - (z_1 - z_0)^{1/k}$,

using (3.11), (3.12), the δ -function identity (3.9) and Proposition 2.2, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
& [\bar{Y}(u, z_1), \bar{Y}(v, z_2)] = \\
&= \text{Res}_{z_0} \frac{1}{k} z_2^{-1/k} (z_1 - z_0)^{1/k-1} \delta\left(\frac{(z_1 - z_0)^{1/k}}{z_2^{1/k}}\right) \\
&\quad Y(Y(\Delta_k(z_1)u, z_1^{1/k} - (z_1 - z_0)^{1/k})\Delta_k(z_2)v, z_2^{1/k}) \\
&= \text{Res}_{z_0} \frac{1}{k} z_2^{-1} \delta\left(\frac{(z_1 - z_0)^{1/k}}{z_2^{1/k}}\right) Y(Y(\Delta_k(z_1)u, z_1^{1/k} - (z_1 - z_0)^{1/k})\Delta_k(z_2)v, z_2^{1/k}) \\
&= \text{Res}_{z_0} \frac{1}{k} z_1^{-1} \delta\left(\frac{(z_2 + z_0)^{1/k}}{z_1^{1/k}}\right) Y(Y(\Delta_k(z_1)u, z_1^{1/k} - (z_1 - z_0)^{1/k})\Delta_k(z_2)v, z_2^{1/k}) \\
&= \text{Res}_{z_0} \frac{1}{k} z_2^{-1} \delta\left(\frac{(z_1 - z_0)^{1/k}}{z_2^{1/k}}\right) \\
&\quad Y(Y(\Delta_k(z_2 + z_0)u, (z_2 + z_0)^{1/k} - z_2^{1/k})\Delta_k(z_2)v, z_2^{1/k}) \\
&= \text{Res}_{z_0} \frac{1}{k} z_2^{-1} \delta\left(\frac{(z_1 - z_0)^{1/k}}{z_2^{1/k}}\right) Y(\Delta_k(z_2)Y(u, z_0)v, z_2) \\
&= \text{Res}_{z_0} \frac{1}{k} z_2^{-1} \delta\left(\frac{(z_1 - z_0)^{1/k}}{z_2^{1/k}}\right) \bar{Y}(Y(u, z_0)v, z_2),
\end{aligned}$$

as desired. $\square$

We are now in a position to put a weak g -twisted $V^{\otimes k}$ -module structure on M . For $u \in V$ set

$$(3.13) \quad Y_g(u^1, z) = \bar{Y}(u, z) \quad \text{and} \quad Y_g(u^{j+1}, z) = \lim_{z^{1/k} \rightarrow \eta^{-j} z^{1/k}} Y_g(u^1, z).$$

Note that $Y_g(u^j, z) = \sum_{p=0}^{k-1} Y_g^p(u^j, z)$ where $Y_g^p(u^j, z) = \sum_{n \in p/k + \mathbb{Z}} u_n^j z^{-n-1}$.

Lemma 3.4. *Let $u, v \in V$. Then*

$$(3.14) \quad [Y_g(u^i, z_1), Y_g(v^j, z_2)] = \text{Res}_{z_0} \frac{1}{k} z_2^{-1} \delta\left(\frac{\eta^{j-i}(z_1 - z_0)^{1/k}}{z_2^{1/k}}\right) Y_g((Y(u, z_0)v)^j, z_2)$$

where $(Y(u, z_0)v)^j = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} (u_n v)^j z_0^{-n-1}$, and

$$\begin{aligned}
(3.15) \quad & [Y_g^p(u^i, z_1), Y_g(v^j, z_2)] \\
&= \text{Res}_{z_0} \frac{1}{k} z_2^{-1} \eta^{(j-i)p} \left(\frac{z_1 - z_0}{z_2}\right)^{-p/k} \delta\left(\frac{z_1 - z_0}{z_2}\right) Y_g((Y(u, z_0)v)^j, z_2).
\end{aligned}$$

Proof. By Lemma 3.3, equation (3.14) holds if $i = j = 1$. Replacing $z_1^{1/k}$ and $z_2^{1/k}$ by $\eta^{-i+1} z_1^{1/k}$ and $\eta^{-j+1} z_2^{1/k}$, respectively, we obtain equation (3.14) for any $i, j = 1, \dots, k$. Equation (3.15) is a direct consequence of (3.14). $\square$

By Lemma 3.4 for $u, v \in V$, there exists a positive integer N such that

$$(3.16) \quad [Y_g(u^i, z_1), Y_g(v^j, z_2)](z_1 - z_2)^N = 0.$$

Letting $z^{1/k}$ go to $\eta^{-i+1}z^{1/k}$ in Lemma 3.2, we have

$$Y_g(L(-1)u^i, z) = \frac{d}{dz}Y_g(u^i, z).$$

Thus the operators $Y_g(u^i, z)$ for $u \in V$, and $i = 1, \dots, k$ are mutually local and generate a local system A in the sense of [Li2]. Let σ be a map from A to A such that $\sigma Y_g(u^i, z) = Y_g(u^{i+1}, z)$ for $u \in V$ and $i = 1, \dots, k$. By Theorem 3.14 of [Li2]², the local system A generates a vertex algebra we denote by (A, Y_A) , and σ extends to an automorphism of A of order k such that M is a natural weak σ -twisted A -module in the sense that $Y(\alpha(z), z_1) = \alpha(z_1)$ for $\alpha(z) \in A$ are σ -twisted vertex operators on M .

Remark 3.5. σ is given by

$$\sigma a(z) = \lim_{z^{1/k} \rightarrow \eta^{-1}z^{1/k}} a(z)$$

for $a(z) \in A$ (see [Li2]).

Let $A^i = \{c(z) \in A \mid \sigma c(z) = \eta^i c(z)\}$ and $a(z) \in A^i$. For any integer n and $b(z) \in A$, the operator $a(z)_n b(z)$ is an element of A given by

$$(3.17) \quad a(z)_n b(z) = \text{Res}_{z_1} \text{Res}_{z_0} \left(\frac{z_1 - z_0}{z} \right)^{i/k} z_0^n \cdot X$$

where

$$X = z_0^{-1} \delta \left(\frac{z_1 - z}{z_0} \right) a(z_1) b(z) - z_0^{-1} \delta \left(\frac{z - z_1}{-z_0} \right) b(z) a(z_1).$$

Or, equivalently, $a(z)_n b(z)$ is defined by:

$$(3.18) \quad \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} (a(z)_n b(z)) z_0^{-n-1} = \text{Res}_{z_1} \left(\frac{z_1 - z_0}{z} \right)^{i/k} \cdot X.$$

Thus following [Li2], for $a(z) \in A^i$, we define $Y_A(a(z), x)$ by setting $Y_A(a(z), z_0) b(z)$ equal to (3.18).

Lemma 3.6. *We have*

$$[Y_A(Y_g(u^i, z), z_1), Y_A(Y_g(v^j, z), z_2)] = 0.$$

Proof. From the vertex algebra structure of A , we have

$$\begin{aligned} & [Y_A(Y_g(u^i, z), z_1), Y_A(Y_g(v^j, z), z_2)] \\ &= \text{Res}_{z_0} z_2^{-1} \delta \left(\frac{z_1 - z_0}{z_2} \right) Y_A(Y_A(Y_g(u^i, z), z_0) Y_g(v^j, z), z_2). \end{aligned}$$

So we need to compute $Y_A(Y_g(u^i, z), z_0) Y_g(v^j, z)$.

Note that $Y_g^p(u^i, z) = \sum_{n \in p/k + \mathbb{Z}} u_n^i z^{-n-1}$ is an eigenvector for σ with eigenvalue η^p . Set

$$X_p = z_0^{-1} \delta \left(\frac{x - z}{z_0} \right) Y_g^p(u^i, x) Y_g(v^j, z) - z_0^{-1} \delta \left(\frac{z - x}{-z_0} \right) Y_g(v^j, z) Y_g^p(u^i, x).$$

²There is a typo in the statement of Theorem 3.14 in [Li2]. The V in the theorem should be A . That is, the main result of the theorem is that the local system A of the theorem has the structure of a vertex superalgebra.

Then by (3.18)

$$Y_A(Y_g(u^i, z), z_0)Y_g(v^j, z) = \sum_{p=0}^{k-1} \text{Res}_x \left(\frac{x-z_0}{z} \right)^{p/k} X_p.$$

Using Lemma 3.4 we compute

$$\begin{aligned} & \text{Res}_{z_0} z_2^{-1} \delta \left(\frac{z_1 - z_0}{z_2} \right) Y_A(Y_g(u^i, z), z_0) Y_g(v^j, z) = \\ &= \text{Res}_{z_0} \text{Res}_x \sum_{p=0}^{k-1} z_2^{-1} \delta \left(\frac{z_1 - z_0}{z_2} \right) \left(\frac{x - z_0}{z} \right)^{p/k} X_p \\ &= \text{Res}_{z_0} \text{Res}_x \sum_{p=0}^{k-1} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} z_2^{-1} \delta \left(\frac{z_1 - z_0}{z_2} \right) \left(\frac{x - z_0}{z} \right)^{p/k} \\ & \quad z_0^{-1} [Y_g^p(u^i, x), Y_g(v^j, z)] (x - z)^n z_0^{-n} \\ &= \text{Res}_{z_0} \text{Res}_x \sum_{p=0}^{k-1} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} z_2^{-1} \delta \left(\frac{z_1 - z_0}{z_2} \right) \left(\frac{x - z_0}{z} \right)^{p/k} z_0^{-1} (x - z)^n z_0^{-n} \\ & \quad \text{Res}_y \frac{1}{k} z^{-1} \eta^{(j-i)p} \left(\frac{x - y}{z} \right)^{-p/k} \delta \left(\frac{x - y}{z} \right) Y_g((Y(u, y)v)^j, z) \\ &= \text{Res}_{z_0} \text{Res}_x \text{Res}_y \sum_{p=0}^{k-1} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} z_2^{-1} \delta \left(\frac{z_1 - z_0}{z_2} \right) \left(\frac{x - z_0}{z} \right)^{p/k} \\ & \quad z_0^{-1} y^n z_0^{-n} \frac{1}{k} z^{-1} \eta^{(j-i)p} \left(\frac{x - y}{z} \right)^{-p/k} \delta \left(\frac{x - y}{z} \right) Y_g((Y(u, y)v)^j, z). \end{aligned}$$

Thus using the δ -function identity (3.7), we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \text{Res}_x z^{-1} \left(\frac{x - z_0}{z} \right)^{p/k} \left(\frac{x - y}{z} \right)^{-p/k} \delta \left(\frac{x - y}{z} \right) = \\ &= \text{Res}_x x^{-1} \left(\frac{x - z_0}{z} \right)^{p/k} \left(\frac{z + y}{x} \right)^{p/k} \delta \left(\frac{z + y}{x} \right) \\ &= \text{Res}_x x^{-1} \left(1 - \frac{z_0}{x} \right)^{p/k} z^{-p/k} (z + y)^{p/k} \delta \left(\frac{z + y}{x} \right) \\ &= \text{Res}_x x^{-1} \left(1 - \frac{z_0}{z + y} \right)^{p/k} z^{-p/k} (z + y)^{p/k} \delta \left(\frac{z + y}{x} \right) \\ &= z^{-p/k} (z + y - z_0)^{p/k}. \end{aligned}$$

Finally, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& \text{Res}_{z_0} z_2^{-1} \delta \left(\frac{z_1 - z_0}{z_2} \right) Y_A(Y_g(u^i, z), z_0) Y_g(v^j, z) = \\
&= \text{Res}_y \text{Res}_{z_0} \frac{1}{k} \sum_{p=0}^{k-1} z_2^{-1} \delta \left(\frac{z_1 - z_0}{z_2} \right) \frac{1}{z_0 - y} \\
&\quad \eta^{(j-i)p} z^{-p/k} (z + y - z_0)^{p/k} Y_g((Y(u, y)v)^j, z) \\
&= \text{Res}_y \text{Res}_{z_0} \frac{1}{k} \sum_{p=0}^{k-1} z_2^{-1} \delta \left(\frac{z_1 - (z_0 + y)}{z_2} \right) \frac{1}{z_0} \\
&\quad \eta^{(j-i)p} z^{-p/k} (z - z_0)^{p/k} Y_g((Y(u, y)v)^j, z) \\
&= \text{Res}_y \frac{1}{k} \sum_{p=0}^{k-1} z_2^{-1} \delta \left(\frac{z_1 - y}{z_2} \right) \eta^{(j-i)p} Y_g((Y(u, y)v)^j, z) \\
&= 0,
\end{aligned}$$

as desired. $\square$

Lemma 3.7. *For $u_1, \dots, u_k \in V$, we have*

$$\begin{aligned}
& Y_A(Y_g(u_k^k, z)_{-1} \cdots Y_g(u_2^2, z)_{-1} Y_g(u_1^1, z), x) \\
&= Y_A(Y_g(u_k^k, z), x) \cdots Y_A(Y_g(u_2^2, z), x) Y_A(Y_g(u_1^1, z), x)
\end{aligned}$$

where $Y_g(u_i^i, z)_{-1}$ is the component vertex operator of $Y_A(Y(u_i^i, z), x)$.

Proof. The lemma follows from Lemma 3.6 above and formula (13.26) of [DL1]. $\square$

Define the map $f : V^{\otimes k} \rightarrow A$ by

$$\begin{aligned}
f : V^{\otimes k} &\rightarrow A \\
u_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes u_k &= (u_k^k)_{-1} \cdots (u_2^2)_{-1} u_1^1 \mapsto Y_g(u_k^k, z)_{-1} \cdots Y_g(u_2^2, z)_{-1} Y_g(u_1^1, z)
\end{aligned}$$

for $u_1, \dots, u_k \in V$. Then $f(u^i) = Y_g(u^i, z)$.

Lemma 3.8. *f is a homomorphism of vertex algebras.*

Proof. We need to show that

$$fY(u_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes u_k, x) = Y_A(Y_g(u_k^k, z)_{-1} \cdots Y_g(u_2^2, z)_{-1} Y_g(u_1^1, z), x) f$$

for $u_i \in V$. Take $v_i \in V$ for $i = 1, \dots, k$. Then

$$\begin{aligned}
& fY(u_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes u_k, x)(v_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes v_k) = \\
&= f(Y(u_1, x)v_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes Y(u_k, x)v_k) \\
&= Y_g(Y(u_k^k, x)v_k^k, z)_{-1} \cdots Y_g(Y(u_2^2, x)v_2^2, z)_{-1} Y_g(Y(u_1^1, x)v_1^1, z).
\end{aligned}$$

By Lemma 3.7, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& Y_A(Y_g(u_k^k, z)_{-1} \cdots Y_g(u_2^2, z)_{-1} Y_g(u_1^1, z), x) f(v^1 \otimes \cdots \otimes v^k) \\
&= Y_A(Y_g(u_k^k, z), x) \cdots Y_A(Y_g(u_2^2, z), x) Y_A(Y_g(u_1^1, z), x) Y_g(v_k^k, z)_{-1} \\
&\quad \cdots Y_g(v_2^2, z)_{-1} Y_g(v_1^1, z).
\end{aligned}$$

By Lemma 3.6, it is enough to show that

$$Y_g(Y(u^i, x)v^i, z) = Y_A(Y_g(u^i, z), x)Y_g(v^i, z)$$

for $u, v \in V$ and $i = 1, \dots, k$. In fact, in view of the relation between $Y(u^1, z)$ and $Y(u^i, z)$ for $u \in V$, we only need to prove the case $i = 1$.

By Proposition 2.2,

$$\begin{aligned} Y_g(Y(u^1, z_0)v^1, z_2) &= Y(\Delta_k(z_2)Y(u, z_0)v, z_2^{1/k}) \\ &= Y(Y(\Delta_k(z_2 + z_0)u, (z_2 + z_0)^{1/k} - z_2^{1/k})\Delta_k(z_2)v, z_2^{1/k}). \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand,

$$Y_A(Y_g(u^1, z_2), z_0)Y_g(v^1, z_2) = \sum_{p=0}^{k-1} \text{Res}_{z_1} \left(\frac{z_1 - z_0}{z_2} \right)^{p/k} X$$

where

$$X = z_0^{-1} \delta \left(\frac{z_1 - z_2}{z_0} \right) Y_g(u^1, z_1)Y_g(v^1, z_2) - z_0^{-1} \delta \left(\frac{z_2 - z_1}{-z_0} \right) Y_g(v^1, z_2)Y_g(u^1, z_1).$$

By equation (3.16), there exists a positive integer N such that

$$(z_1 - z_2)^N Y_g(u^1, z_1)Y_g(v^1, z_2) = (z_1 - z_2)^N Y_g(v^1, z_2)Y_g(u^1, z_1).$$

Thus

$$\begin{aligned} X &= z_0^{-1} \delta \left(\frac{z_1 - z_2}{z_0} \right) Y_g(u^1, z_1)Y_g(v^1, z_2) \\ &\quad - z_0^{-1} \delta \left(\frac{z_2 - z_1}{-z_0} \right) z_0^{-N} (z_1 - z_2)^N Y_g(v^1, z_2)Y_g(u^1, z_1) \\ &= z_0^{-1} \delta \left(\frac{z_1 - z_2}{z_0} \right) z_0^{-N} ((z_1 - z_2)^N Y_g(u^1, z_1)Y_g(v^1, z_2)) \\ &\quad - z_0^{-1} \delta \left(\frac{z_2 - z_1}{-z_0} \right) z_0^{-N} ((z_1 - z_2)^N Y_g(u^1, z_1)Y_g(v^1, z_2)) \\ &= z_2^{-1} z_0^{-N} \delta \left(\frac{z_1 - z_0}{z_2} \right) ((z_1 - z_2)^N Y_g(u^1, z_1)Y_g(v^1, z_2)) \end{aligned}$$

where we have used the elementary δ -function relation

$$(3.19) \quad z_0^{-1} \delta \left(\frac{z_1 - z_2}{z_0} \right) - z_0^{-1} \delta \left(\frac{z_2 - z_1}{-z_0} \right) = z_2^{-1} \delta \left(\frac{z_1 - z_0}{z_2} \right)$$

(cf. [FLM3]).

Therefore using the δ -function relation (3.8), we have

$$\begin{aligned} Y_A(Y_g(u^1, z_2), z_0)Y_g(v^1, z_2) &= \text{Res}_{z_1} z_0^{-N} z_2^{-1} \delta \left(\frac{(z_1 - z_0)^{1/k}}{z_2^{1/k}} \right) ((z_1 - z_2)^N Y_g(u^1, z_1)Y_g(v^1, z_2)). \end{aligned}$$

Let x be a new formal variable which commutes with z_0, z_1, z_2 . Then

$$\begin{aligned}
& z_2^{-1/k} \delta \left(\frac{z_1^{1/k} - x}{z_2^{1/k}} \right) ((z_1 - z_2)^N Y_g(u^1, z_1) Y_g(v^1, z_2)) = \\
& = x^{-1} \delta \left(\frac{z_1^{1/k} - z_2^{1/k}}{x} \right) ((z_1 - z_2)^N Y_g(u^1, z_1) Y_g(v^1, z_2)) \\
& \quad - x^{-1} \delta \left(\frac{-z_2^{1/k} + z_1^{1/k}}{x} \right) ((z_1 - z_2)^N Y_g(u^1, z_1) Y_g(v^1, z_2)) \\
& = (z_1 - z_2)^N x^{-1} \delta \left(\frac{z_1^{1/k} - z_2^{1/k}}{x} \right) Y(\Delta_k(z_1)u, z_1^{1/k}) Y(\Delta_k(z_2)v, z_2^{1/k}) \\
& \quad - (z_1 - z_2)^N x^{-1} \delta \left(\frac{-z_2^{1/k} + z_1^{1/k}}{x} \right) Y(\Delta_k(z_2)v, z_2^{1/k}) Y(\Delta_k(z_1)u, z_1^{1/k}) \\
& = (z_1 - z_2)^N z_2^{-1/k} \delta \left(\frac{z_1^{1/k} - x}{z_2^{1/k}} \right) Y(Y(\Delta_k(z_1)u, x) \Delta_k(z_2)v, z_2^{1/k}).
\end{aligned}$$

Note that the first term in the above formula is well defined when x is replaced by $z_1^{1/k} - (z_1 - z_0)^{1/k}$, and therefore the last term is also well defined under this substitution. Thus

$$\begin{aligned}
& z_0^{-N} z_2^{-1/k} \delta \left(\frac{(z_1 - z_0)^{1/k}}{z_2^{1/k}} \right) ((z_1 - z_2)^N Y_g(u^1, z_1) Y_g(v^1, z_2)) = \\
& = z_2^{-1/k} \delta \left(\frac{(z_1 - z_0)^{1/k}}{z_2^{1/k}} \right) Y(Y(\Delta_k(z_1)u, z_1^{1/k} - (z_1 - z_0)^{1/k}) \Delta_k(z_2)v, z_2^{1/k}) \\
& = z_2^{-1/k} \delta \left(\frac{(z_1 - z_0)^{1/k}}{z_2^{1/k}} \right) Y(Y(\Delta_k(z_2 + z_0)u, (z_2 + z_0)^{1/k} - z_2^{1/k}) \Delta_k(z_2)v, z_2^{1/k}).
\end{aligned}$$

Finally we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& Y_A(Y_g(u^1, z_2), z_0) Y_g(v^1, z_2) = \\
& = \text{Res}_{z_1} z_2^{-1} \delta \left(\frac{(z_1 - z_0)^{1/k}}{z_2^{1/k}} \right) Y(Y(\Delta_k(z_2 + z_0)u, (z_2 + z_0)^{1/k} - z_2^{1/k}) \\
& \quad \Delta_k(z_2)v, z_2^{1/k}) \\
& = Y(Y(\Delta_k(z_2 + z_0)u, (z_2 + z_0)^{1/k} - z_2^{1/k}) \Delta_k(z_2)v, z_2^{1/k}) \\
& = Y_g(Y(u^1, z_0)v^1, z_2),
\end{aligned}$$

as desired. $\square$

Let (M, Y) be a weak V -module. Define $T_g^k(M, Y) = (T_g^k(M), Y_g) = (M, Y_g)$. That is $T_g^k(M, Y)$ is M as the underlying vector space and the vertex operator Y_g is given by (3.13).

Now we state our first main theorem of the paper.

Theorem 3.9. $(T_g^k(M), Y_g)$ is a weak g -twisted $V^{\otimes k}$ -module such that $T_g^k(M) = M$, and Y_g , defined by (3.13), is the linear map from $V^{\otimes k}$ to

$$(\text{End } T_g^k(M))[[z^{1/k}, z^{-1/k}]]$$

defining the twisted module structure. Moreover,

(1) (M, Y) is an irreducible weak V -module if and only if $(T_g^k(M), Y_g)$ is an irreducible weak g -twisted $V^{\otimes k}$ -module.

(2) M is an admissible V -module if and only if $T_g^k(M)$ is an admissible g -twisted $V^{\otimes k}$ -module.

(3) M is an ordinary V -module if and only if $T_g^k(M)$ is an ordinary g -twisted $V^{\otimes k}$ -module.

Proof. It is immediate from Lemma 3.8 that $T_g^k(M) = M$ is a weak g -twisted $V^{\otimes k}$ -module with $Y_g(u^1, z) = \bar{Y}(u, z)$. Note that

$$Y_g((\Delta_k(z)^{-1}u)^1, z) = \bar{Y}(\Delta_k(z)^{-1}u, z) = Y(u, z^{1/k})$$

and that all twisted vertex operators $Y_g(v, z)$ for $v \in V^{\otimes k}$ can be generated from $Y_g(u^1, z)$ for $u \in V$. It is clear now that M is an irreducible weak V -module if and only if $T_g^k(M)$ is an irreducible weak g -twisted $V^{\otimes k}$ -module. So (1) has been proved.

For (2) since M is an admissible V -module, we have $M = \bigoplus_{n \in \mathbb{N}} M(n)$ such that for $m \in \frac{1}{k}\mathbb{Z}$, the component operator u_m satisfies $u_m M(n) \subset M(\text{wt } u - m - 1 + n)$ if $u \in V$ is of homogeneous weight. Define a $\frac{1}{k}\mathbb{Z}_+$ -gradation on $T_g^k(M)$ such that $T_g^k(M)(n/k) = M(n)$ for $n \in \mathbb{Z}$. Recall that $Y_g(v, z) = \sum_{m \in \frac{1}{k}\mathbb{Z}} v_m z^{-m-1}$ for $v \in V^{\otimes k}$. We have to show that $v_m T_g^k(M)(n) \subset T_g^k(M)(\text{wt } v - m - 1 + n)$ for $m, n \in \frac{1}{k}\mathbb{Z}$. As before, since all twisted vertex operators $Y_g(v, z)$ for $v \in V^{\otimes k}$ can be generated from $Y_g(u^1, z)$ for $u \in V$, it is enough to show $u_m^1 T_g^k(M)(n) \subset T_g^k(M)(\text{wt } u - m - 1 + n)$.

Let $u \in V_p$ for $p \in \mathbb{Z}$. Then

$$\Delta_k(z)u = \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} u(i)z^{1/k-p-i/k}$$

where $u(i) \in V_{p-i}$. Thus

$$Y_g(u^1, z) = Y(\Delta_k(z)u, z^{1/k}) = \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} Y(u(i), z^{1/k})z^{1/k-p-i/k},$$

and for $m \in \frac{1}{k}\mathbb{Z}$

$$u_m^1 = \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} u(i)_{(1-k)p-i-1+km+k}.$$

Since the weight of $u(i)_{(1-k)p-i-1+km+k}$ is $k(p-m-1)$, we see that $u_m^1 T_g^k(M)(n) = u_m^1 M(kn) \subset M(k(p-m-1+n))$. That is $u_m^1 T_g^k(M)(n) \subset T_g^k(M)(p-m-1+n)$, showing that $T_g^k(M)$ is an admissible g -twisted $V^{\otimes k}$ -module.

Similarly, one can show that if $T_g^k(M)$ is an admissible g -twisted $V^{\otimes k}$ -module, then M is an admissible V -module with $M(n) = T_g^k(M)(n/k)$ for $n \in \mathbb{Z}$.

In order to prove (3) we write $Y_g(\bar{\omega}, z) = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} L_g(n) z^{-n-2}$ where $\bar{\omega} = \sum_{j=1}^k \omega^j$. We have

$$Y_g(\bar{\omega}, z) = \sum_{i=0}^{k-1} \lim_{z^{1/k} \mapsto \eta^{-i} z^{1/k}} Y_g(\omega^1, z).$$

It follows from (3.6) that $L_g(0) = \frac{1}{k} L(0) + \frac{(k^2-1)c}{24k}$. This immediately implies (3). $\square$

Let V be an arbitrary vertex operator algebra and g an automorphism of V of finite order. We denote the categories of weak, admissible and ordinary g -twisted V -modules by $\mathcal{C}_w^g(V)$, $\mathcal{C}_a^g(V)$ and $\mathcal{C}^g(V)$, respectively. If $g = 1$, we habitually remove the index g .

Now again consider the vertex operator $V^{\otimes k}$ and the k -cycle $g = (12 \cdots k)$. Define

$$\begin{aligned} T_g^k : \mathcal{C}_w(V) &\longrightarrow \mathcal{C}_w^g(V^{\otimes k}) \\ (M, Y) &\mapsto (T_g^k(M), Y_g) = (M, Y_g) \\ f &\mapsto T_g^k(f) = f \end{aligned}$$

for (M, Y) an object and f a morphism in $\mathcal{C}_w(V)$.

The following corollary to Theorem 3.9 is obvious.

Corollary 3.10. *T_g^k is a functor from the category $\mathcal{C}_w(V)$ to the category $\mathcal{C}_w^g(V^{\otimes k})$ such that: (1) T_g^k preserves irreducible objects; (2) The restrictions of T_g^k to $\mathcal{C}_a(V)$ and $\mathcal{C}(V)$ are functors from $\mathcal{C}_a(V)$ and $\mathcal{C}(V)$ to $\mathcal{C}_a^g(V^{\otimes k})$ and $\mathcal{C}^g(V^{\otimes k})$, respectively.*

In the next section we will construct a functor U_g^k from the category $\mathcal{C}_w^g(V^{\otimes k})$ to the category $\mathcal{C}_w(V)$ such that $U_g^k \circ T_g^k = \text{id}_{\mathcal{C}_w(V)}$ and $T_g^k \circ U_g^k = \text{id}_{\mathcal{C}_w^g(V^{\otimes k})}$.

Remark 3.11. In constructing the weak g -twisted $V^{\otimes k}$ -module structure on the weak V -module (M, Y) , we chose to define $Y_g(u^1, z)$ by (3.13) which then generate $Y_g(v, z)$ for all $v \in V^{\otimes k}$. But our choice of u^1 for $u \in V$ as generators is not canonical. We could just as well have chosen to define $Y_g^j(u^j, z) = \tilde{Y}(u, z)$ for $j = 2, \dots, k$, and generated g -twisted operators $Y_g^j(v, z)$ for $v \in V^{\otimes k}$ from these rather than from $Y_g^1(u^1, z)$. These new g -twisted operators $Y_g^j(v, z)$ are related to the old ones $Y_g = Y_g^1$ by

$$Y_g^{j+1}(v, z) = \lim_{z^{1/k} \mapsto \eta^j z^{1/k}} Y_g(v, z)$$

for $j = 1, \dots, k-1$. This is a reflection of the fact that for any vertex operator algebra $(V, Y, \mathbf{1}, \omega)$ and any automorphism g of V , if (M, Y_g) is a weak g -twisted V -module, then so is $(M, \tilde{Y}_g)$ where

$$\tilde{Y}_g(v, z) = \lim_{z^{1/k} \mapsto \eta^j z^{1/k}} Y_g(v, z).$$

Furthermore, if (M, Y_g) is admissible, then (M, Y_g) and $(M, \tilde{Y}_g)$ are isomorphic as g -twisted V -modules via

$$\begin{aligned} f : (M, Y_g) &\rightarrow (M, \tilde{Y}_g) \\ w &\mapsto \eta^{jkn} w \end{aligned}$$

for $w \in M(n)$. (Note that here we have used the fact that $\eta^{k(\text{wt } v)} = 1$ for all $v \in V$ of homogeneous weight $\text{wt } v$.)

4. CONSTRUCTING A WEAK V -MODULE STRUCTURE ON A WEAK
 $g = (12 \cdots k)$ -TWISTED $V^{\otimes k}$ -MODULE

For $k \in \mathbb{Z}_+$ and $g = (12 \cdots k)$, let $M = (M, Y_g)$ be a weak g -twisted $V^{\otimes k}$ -module. Motivated by the construction of weak g -twisted $V^{\otimes k}$ -modules from weak V -modules in Section 3, we consider

$$(4.1) \quad Y_g((\Delta_k(z^k)^{-1}u)^1, z^k)$$

for $u \in V$ where $\Delta_k(z)^{-1} = \Delta_k^V(z)^{-1}$ is given by (2.7), i.e.,

$$\Delta_k(z)^{-1} = z^{-(1/k-1)L(0)} k^{L(0)} \exp\left(-\sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}_+} a_j z^{-j/k} L(j)\right).$$

Note that (4.1) is multivalued since $Y_g((\Delta_k(z)^{-1}u)^1, z) \in (\text{End } M)[[z^{1/k}, z^{-1/k}]]$. We thus define $Y_U(u, z) = Y_g((\Delta_k(z^k)^{-1}u)^1, z^k)$ to be the unique formal Laurent series in $(\text{End } M)[[z, z^{-1}]]$ given by taking $(z^k)^{1/k} = z$. Our goal in this section is to construct a functor $U_g^k : \mathcal{C}_w^g(V^{\otimes k}) \rightarrow \mathcal{C}_w(V)$ with $U_g^k(M, Y) = (U_g^k(M), Y_U) = (M, Y_U)$. If we instead define Y_U by taking $(z^k)^{1/k} = \eta^j z$ for $\eta = e^{-2\pi i/k}$ with $j = 1, \dots, k-1$, then (M, Y_U) will not be a weak V -module. Further note that this implies that if we allow z to be complex number and if we define $z^{1/k}$ using the principal branch of the logarithm, then much of our work in this section is valid if and only if $-\pi/k < \arg z < \pi/k$.

Lemma 4.1. *For $u \in V$, we have*

$$\begin{aligned} Y_U(L(-1)u, z) &= \left(\frac{d}{dz}((z^k)^{1/k})\right) \frac{d}{dz} Y_U(u, z) \\ &= \frac{d}{dz} Y_U(u, z) \end{aligned}$$

on $U_g^k(M) = M$. Thus the $L(-1)$ -derivative property holds for Y_U .

Proof. The proof is similar to that of Lemma 3.2. By Corollary 2.5 we have

$$\Delta_k(z)^{-1} L(-1) - k z^{-1/k+1} L(-1) \Delta_k(z)^{-1} = k z^{-1/k+1} \frac{d}{dz} \Delta_k(z)^{-1}.$$

Making the change of variable $z \rightarrow z^k$ gives

$$\Delta_k(z^k)^{-1} L(-1) - k(z^k)^{-1/k} z^k L(-1) \Delta_k(z^k)^{-1} = (z^k)^{-1/k} z \frac{d}{dz} \Delta_k(z^k)^{-1}.$$

Thus if $(z^k)^{1/k} = \eta^j z$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d}{dz} Y_g((\Delta_k(z^k)^{-1}u)^1, z^k) &= \\ &= Y_g\left(\left(\frac{d}{dz} \Delta_k(z^k)^{-1}u\right)^1, z^k\right) + \frac{d}{dx} Y_g((\Delta_k(z^k)^{-1}u)^1, x^k)|_{x=z} \\ &= Y_g\left(\left(\frac{d}{dz} \Delta_k(z^k)^{-1}u\right)^1, z^k\right) + k z^{k-1} Y_g(L(-1)(\Delta_k(z^k)^{-1}u)^1, z^k) \\ &= Y_g\left(\left(\frac{d}{dz} \Delta_k(z^k)^{-1}u\right)^1, z^k\right) + k z^{k-1} Y_g((L(-1)\Delta_k(z^k)^{-1}u)^1, z^k) \\ &= \eta^j Y_g((\Delta_k(z^k)^{-1}L(-1)u)^1, z^k). \end{aligned}$$

Since by definition $Y_U(u, z) = Y_g((\Delta_k(z^k)^{-1}u)^1, z^k)$ with $(z^k)^{1/k} = z$, the result follows. $\square$

Lemma 4.2. *Let $u, v \in V$. Then in $U_g^k(M) = M$,*

$$[Y_U(u, z_1), Y_U(v, z_2)] = \text{Res}_{z_0} z_2^{-1} \delta \left(\frac{z_1 - z_0}{z_2} \right) Y_U(Y(u, z_0)v, z_2).$$

Proof. The proof is similar to the proof of Lemma 3.3. From the twisted Jacobi identity, we have

$$(4.2) \quad [Y_g(u^1, z_1), Y_g(v^1, z_2)] = \text{Res}_{z_0} \frac{1}{k} z_2^{-1} \delta \left(\frac{(z_1 - z_0)^{1/k}}{z_2^{1/k}} \right) Y_g(Y(u^1, z_0)v^1, z_2).$$

Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} [Y_U(u, z_1), Y_U(v, z_2)] &= \\ &= [Y_g((\Delta_k(z_1^k)^{-1}u)^1, z_1^k), Y_g((\Delta_k(z_2^k)^{-1}v)^1, z_2^k)] \\ &= \text{Res}_x \frac{1}{k} z_2^{-k} \delta \left(\frac{(z_1^k - x)^{1/k}}{z_2} \right) Y_g(Y((\Delta_k(z_1^k)^{-1}u)^1, x)(\Delta_k(z_2^k)^{-1}v)^1, z_2^k). \end{aligned}$$

We want to make the change of variable $x = z_1^k - (z_1 - z_0)^k$ where we choose z_0 such that $((z_1 - z_0)^k)^{1/k} = z_1 - z_0$. Then noting that $(z_1^k - x)^{n/k}|_{x=z_1^k-(z_1-z_0)^k} = (z_1 - z_0)^n$ for all $n \in \mathbb{Z}$, and using (3.12), we have

$$\begin{aligned} [Y_U(u, z_1), Y_U(v, z_2)] &= \\ &= \text{Res}_{z_0} z_2^{-k} (z_1 - z_0)^{k-1} \delta \left(\frac{z_1 - z_0}{z_2} \right) Y_g(Y((\Delta_k(z_1^k)^{-1}u)^1, z_1^k - (z_1 - z_0)^k) \\ &\quad (\Delta_k(z_2^k)^{-1}v)^1, z_2^k) \\ &= \text{Res}_{z_0} z_2^{-1} \delta \left(\frac{z_1 - z_0}{z_2} \right) Y_g(Y((\Delta_k(z_1^k)^{-1}u)^1, z_1^k - (z_1 - z_0)^k)(\Delta_k(z_2^k)^{-1}v)^1, z_2^k) \\ &= \text{Res}_{z_0} z_2^{-1} \delta \left(\frac{z_1 - z_0}{z_2} \right) Y_g((Y(\Delta_k((z_2 + z_0)^k)^{-1}u, (z_2 + z_0)^k - z_2^k) \\ &\quad \Delta_k(z_2^k)^{-1}v)^1, z_2^k). \end{aligned}$$

Thus the proof is reduced to proving

$$Y(\Delta_k((z_2 + z_0)^k)^{-1}u, (z_2 + z_0)^k - z_2^k) \Delta_k(z_2^k)^{-1} = \Delta_k(z_2^k)^{-1} Y(u, z_0),$$

i.e., proving

$$(4.3) \quad \Delta_k(z_2^k) Y(\Delta_k((z_2 + z_0)^k)^{-1}u, (z_2 + z_0)^k - z_2^k) \Delta_k(z_2^k)^{-1} = Y(u, z_0).$$

In Proposition 2.2, substituting u, z and z_0 by $\Delta_k((z_2 + z_0)^k)^{-1}u, z_2^k$ and $(z_2 + z_0)^k - z_2^k$, respectively, gives equation (4.3). $\square$

Theorem 4.3. *With the notations as above, $U_g^k(M, Y_g) = (U_g^k(M), Y_U) = (M, Y_U)$ is a weak V -module.*

Proof. Since the $L(-1)$ -derivation property has been proved for Y_U in Lemma 4.1, we only need to prove the Jacobi identity which is equivalent to the commutator formula given by Lemma 4.2 and the associator formula which states that for $u, v \in V$ and $w \in U_g^k(M)$ there exists a positive integer n such that

$$(z_0 + z_2)^n Y_U(u, z_0 + z_2) Y_U(v, z_2) w = (z_2 + z_0)^n Y_U(Y(u, z_0)v, z_2) w.$$

Write $u^1 = \sum_{i=0}^{k-1} u_{(i)}^1$ where $gu_{(i)}^1 = \eta^i u_{(i)}^1$. Then from the twisted Jacobi identity, we have the following associator: there exists a positive integer m such that for $n \geq m$,

$$(z_0 + z_2)^{i/k+n} Y_g(u_{(i)}^1, z_0 + z_2) Y_g(v^1, z_2) w = (z_2 + z_0)^{i/k+n} Y_g(Y(u_{(i)}^1, z_0) v^1, z_2) w$$

for $i = 0, \dots, k-1$. Replacing z_2 by z_2^k and z_0 by $(z_0 + z_2)^k - z_2^k$ gives

$$\begin{aligned} (z_0 + z_2)^{i+kn} Y_g(u_{(i)}^1, (z_0 + z_2)^k) Y_g(v^1, z_2^k) w \\ = (z_2 + z_0)^{i+kn} Y_g(Y(u_{(i)}^1, (z_2 + z_0)^k - z_2^k) v^1, z_2^k) w. \end{aligned}$$

Note that if $a \in V^{\otimes k}$ such that $ga = \eta^i a$, then $Y_g(a, z) = \sum_{l \in i/k + \mathbb{Z}} a_n z^{-l-1}$. Thus there exists a positive integer m_i such that if $n_i \geq m_i$, then

$$\begin{aligned} (z_0 + z_2)^{n_i} Y_g(u_{(i)}^1, (z_0 + z_2)^k) Y_g(v^1, z_2^k) w \\ = (z_2 + z_0)^{n_i} Y_g(Y(u_{(i)}^1, (z_2 + z_0)^k - z_2^k) v^1, z_2^k) w \end{aligned}$$

for $i = 0, \dots, k-1$. As a result we see that there exists a positive integer m such that if $n \geq m$, then

$$\begin{aligned} (z_0 + z_2)^n Y_g(u^1, (z_0 + z_2)^k) Y_g(v^1, z_2^k) w \\ = (z_2 + z_0)^n Y_g(Y(u^1, (z_2 + z_0)^k - z_2^k) v^1, z_2^k) w. \end{aligned}$$

Now write $\Delta_k((z_0 + z_2)^k)^{-1} u = \sum_{j \in \mathbb{N}} u_j (z_0 + z_2)^{s_j}$ for some $u_j \in V$ and integers s_j , and note that this is a finite sum. Similarly we have a finite sum $\Delta_k(z_2^k)^{-1} v = \sum_{j \in \mathbb{N}} v_j z_2^{t_j}$ for some $v_j \in V$ and $t_j \in \mathbb{Z}$. Thus there exists a positive integer m such that if $n \geq m$, then

$$\begin{aligned} (z_0 + z_2)^{n+s_i} Y_g(u_i^1, (z_0 + z_2)^k) Y_g(v_j^1, z_2^k) w \\ = (z_2 + z_0)^{n+s_i} Y_g(Y(u_i^1, (z_2 + z_0)^k - z_2^k) v_j^1, z_2^k) w \end{aligned}$$

for all $i, j \in \mathbb{N}$. Finally we have for $n \geq m$,

$$\begin{aligned} (z_0 + z_2)^n Y_U(u, z_0 + z_2) Y_U(v, z_2) w &= \\ &= (z_2 + z_0)^n Y_g((\Delta_k((z_0 + z_2)^k)^{-1} u)^1, (z_0 + z_2)^k) Y_g((\Delta_k(z_2^k)^{-1} v)^1, z_2^k) w \\ &= \sum_{i,j \geq 0} (z_0 + z_2)^{n+s_i} z_2^{t_j} Y_g(u_i^1, (z_0 + z_2)^k) Y_g(v_j^1, z_2^k) w \\ &= \sum_{i,j \geq 0} (z_2 + z_0)^{n+s_i} z_2^{t_j} Y_g(Y(u_i^1, (z_2 + z_0)^k - z_2^k) v_j^1, z_2^k) w \\ &= (z_2 + z_0)^n Y_g(Y(\Delta_k((z_2 + z_0)^k)^{-1} u)^1, (z_2 + z_0)^k - z_2^k) (\Delta_k(z_2^k)^{-1} v)^1, z_2^k) w \\ &= (z_2 + z_0)^n Y_g((\Delta_k(z_2^k)^{-1} Y(u, z_0) v)^1, z_2^k) w \\ &= (z_2 + z_0)^n Y_U(Y(u, z_0) v, z_2) w \end{aligned}$$

where we have used equation (4.3), completing the proof. $\square$

Theorem 4.4. U_g^k is a functor from the category $\mathcal{C}_w^g(V^{\otimes k})$ of weak g -twisted $V^{\otimes k}$ -modules to the category $\mathcal{C}_w(V)$ of weak V -modules such that $T_g^k \circ U_g^k = id_{\mathcal{C}_w^g(V^{\otimes k})}$ and $U_g^k \circ T_g^k = id_{\mathcal{C}_w(V)}$. In particular, the categories $\mathcal{C}_w^g(V^{\otimes k})$ and $\mathcal{C}_w(V)$ are isomorphic. Moreover,

(1) The restrictions of T_g^k and U_g^k to the category of admissible V -modules $\mathcal{C}_a(V)$ and to the category of admissible g -twisted $V^{\otimes k}$ -modules $\mathcal{C}_a^g(V^{\otimes k})$, respectively, give category isomorphisms. In particular, V is rational if and only if $V^{\otimes k}$ is g -rational.

(2) The restrictions of T_g^k and U_g^k to the category of ordinary V -modules $\mathcal{C}(V)$ and to the category of ordinary g -twisted $V^{\otimes k}$ -modules $\mathcal{C}^g(V^{\otimes k})$, respectively, give category isomorphisms.

Proof. It is trivial to verify $T_g^k \circ U_g^k = id_{\mathcal{C}_w^g(V^{\otimes k})}$ and $U_g^k \circ T_g^k = id_{\mathcal{C}_w(V)}$ from the definitions of the functors T_g^k and U_g^k . Parts 1 and 2 follow from Theorem 3.9. $\square$

5. TWISTED SECTORS FOR AN ARBITRARY k -CYCLE

In this section we extend Theorem 4.4 to the category of weak g -twisted $V^{\otimes k}$ -modules where g is an arbitrary k -cycle. But we first recall some general results following, for example, [DLM3].

Let V be any vertex operator algebra, and let $g, h \in \text{Aut}(V)$. There is an isomorphism from the category of weak g -twisted V -modules $\mathcal{C}_w^g(V)$ to the category of weak hgh^{-1} -twisted V -modules $\mathcal{C}_w^{hgh^{-1}}(V)$ given by

$$\begin{aligned} h : \mathcal{C}_w^g(V) &\longrightarrow \mathcal{C}_w^{hgh^{-1}}(V) \\ (M, Y_g) &\mapsto (M, Y_{hgh^{-1}}) \end{aligned}$$

where

$$Y_{hgh^{-1}}(u, z) = Y_g(hu, z)$$

for $u \in V$. Moreover it is clear that (M, Y_g) is irreducible, admissible, or ordinary if and only if $(M, Y_{hgh^{-1}})$ is irreducible, admissible, or ordinary, respectively.

Now suppose g' is an arbitrary k -cycle and let $g = (12 \cdots k)$. Then there exists $h \in S_k$ such that $g' = hgh^{-1}$. However, this h is unique only up to multiplication on the right by powers of g . Thus given g' , we can specify h uniquely by requiring that h leave 1 fixed. Denote this by h_1 . Then we have the following Corollary to Theorem 4.4.

Corollary 5.1. *Let $g' \in S_k$ be a k -cycle, let $g = (12 \cdots k)$, and let h_1 be the unique element of S_k that fixes 1 and satisfies $g' = h_1gh_1^{-1}$. Then we have the following isomorphism of categories*

$$\begin{aligned} T_{g'}^k = h_1 \circ T_g^k : \mathcal{C}_w(V) &\longrightarrow \mathcal{C}_w^{g'}(V^{\otimes k}) \\ (M, Y) &\mapsto (T_{g'}^k(M), Y_{g'}) = (M, Y_{h_1gh_1^{-1}}) \end{aligned}$$

where

$$Y_{g'}(v, z) = Y_{h_1gh_1^{-1}}(v, z) = Y_g(h_1v, z)$$

for $v \in V^{\otimes k}$, and Y_g is uniquely determined by

$$Y_g(u^1, z) = \bar{Y}(u, z) = Y(\Delta_k(z)u, z^{1/k})$$

$$Y_g(u^{j+1}, z) = \lim_{z^{1/k} \rightarrow \eta^{-j} z^{1/k}} Y_g(u^1, z)$$

for $u \in V$. Moreover, $T_{g'}^k$ preserves irreducible, admissible and ordinary objects.

Remark 5.2. In the corollary above, we could just have easily defined $T_{g'}^k$ by $T_{g'}^k = h_l \circ T_g^k$ where h_l , rather than fixing 1, is the unique element of S_k that takes 1 to l for $l = 2, \dots, k$ and satisfies $g' = h_l g h_l^{-1}$. Then these new g' -twisted operators defined on M denoted by $Y_{g'}^l$ would differ from those defined in Corollary 5.1, denoted by $Y_{g'}$, by

$$Y_{g'}^{l+1}(u^1, z) = \lim_{z^{1/k} \rightarrow \eta^l z^{1/k}} Y_{g'}(u^1, z)$$

for $l = 1, \dots, k-1$ and $u \in V$, where of course $Y_{g'}^{l+1}(v, z)$ for $v \in V^{\otimes k}$ is determined by $Y_{g'}^{l+1}(u^{j+1}, z) = \lim_{z^{1/k} \rightarrow \eta^{-j} z^{1/k}} Y_{g'}^{l+1}(u^1, z)$.

6. TWISTED SECTORS FOR ARBITRARY PERMUTATIONS

In order to determine the various twisted module categories for an arbitrary permutation, we need to study twisted modules for tensor product vertex operator algebras in general.

For $s \in \mathbb{Z}_+$ and $i = 1, \dots, s$, let $V^i = (V^i, Y_i, \mathbf{1}_i, \omega_i)$ be a vertex operator algebra and g_i an automorphism of V^i of finite order. Then $g = g_1 \otimes \dots \otimes g_s$ is an automorphism of the tensor product vertex operator algebra $V^1 \otimes \dots \otimes V^s$ of finite order. If W^i are weak g_i -twisted V^i -modules for $i = 1, \dots, s$ then the tensor product $W^1 \otimes \dots \otimes W^s = W$ is a weak g -twisted $V^1 \otimes \dots \otimes V^s$ -module in the obvious way. Thus from Proposition 4.7.2 in [FHL], we have the following lemma.

Lemma 6.1. *If W^i are irreducible weak g_i -twisted V^i -modules for $i = 1, \dots, s$, then $W = W^1 \otimes \dots \otimes W^s$ is an irreducible weak g -twisted $V^1 \otimes \dots \otimes V^s$ -module.*

Proposition 6.2. *The notation is the same as before. If V^i is g_i -rational for all i then $V^1 \otimes \dots \otimes V^s$ is g -rational and each irreducible $V^1 \otimes \dots \otimes V^s$ -module W is isomorphic to $W^1 \otimes \dots \otimes W^s$ for some irreducible g_i -twisted V^i -modules W^i .*

Proof. Let $W = \bigoplus_{n \in \mathbb{Q}_+} W(n)$ be an admissible g -twisted $V^1 \otimes \dots \otimes V^s$ -module. We need to show that W is completely reducible. It is sufficient to prove that a submodule generated by any vector $w \in W(n)$ is completely reducible, and thus we can assume that W is generated by w . Identify V^i with the vertex operator algebra $\mathbf{1}_1 \otimes \dots \otimes \mathbf{1}_{i-1} \otimes V^i \otimes \mathbf{1}_{i+1} \otimes \dots \otimes \mathbf{1}_s$. (This is “almost” a subalgebra of $V^1 \otimes \dots \otimes V^s$, failing to be a subalgebra due to the fact that $\mathbf{1}_1 \otimes \dots \otimes \mathbf{1}_{i-1} \otimes V^i \otimes \mathbf{1}_{i+1} \otimes \dots \otimes \mathbf{1}_s$ has a different Virasoro element from that of $V^1 \otimes \dots \otimes V^s$.) With this identification, the submodule W generated by w is an admissible g_i -twisted V^i -module. By [DM] and [Li1], W is spanned by $\{v_{m_1}^1 \dots v_{m_s}^s w | v^i \in V^i, m_i \in \mathbb{Q}\}$. Set W^i to be the span of $\{v_m w | v \in V^i, m \in \mathbb{Q}\}$. Since V^i is g_i -rational, W^i is an admissible g_i -twisted V^i -module which is a direct sum of irreducible g_i -twisted V^i -modules. Note that the map f from $W^1 \otimes \dots \otimes W^s$ to W which sends $v_{m_1}^1 w \otimes \dots \otimes v_{m_s}^s w$ to $v_{m_1}^1 \dots v_{m_s}^s w$ for $v^i \in V^i, m_i \in \mathbb{Q}$ is a $V^1 \otimes \dots \otimes V^s$ -homomorphism. By Lemma 6.1, $W^1 \otimes \dots \otimes W^s$ is completely reducible. Thus W as a homomorphic image of $W^1 \otimes \dots \otimes W^s$ is completely reducible. In particular, if W is irreducible then W is isomorphic to a tensor product of irreducible g_i -twisted V^i -modules. $\square$

Remark 6.3. Proposition 6.2 is a generalization of a special case of a result proved in [FHL] which states that in the case $g_i = 1$ for all i , any ordinary irreducible $V^1 \otimes \dots \otimes V^s$ -module W on whose lowest weight space the operators $L_i(0)$ have only rational eigenvalues is isomorphic to the tensor product of some irreducible

V^i -modules. In our case $L_i(0)$ is the component operator of $Y_i(\omega_i, z)$ of weight 0, the g_i are general automorphisms of V^i of finite order, but we have restricted to the case where each V^i is g_i -rational.

Let k be a fixed positive integer, and let $g \in S_k$. Then g can be written as a product of disjoint cycles $g = g_1 \cdots g_p$ where the order of g_i is k_i such that $\sum_i k_i = k$. (Note that we are including 1-cycles.) Furthermore, there exists $h \in S_k$ satisfying $g = hg'_1 \cdots g'_p h^{-1}$ such that g'_i is a k_i -cycle which permutes the numbers $(\sum_{j=1}^{i-1} k_j) + 1, (\sum_{j=1}^{i-1} k_j) + 2, \dots, \sum_{j=1}^i k_j$. In Sections 3, 4 and 5 we have determined various g_i -twisted $V^{\otimes k_i}$ -module categories in terms of corresponding V -module categories via the functor $T_{g_i}^{k_i}$ for g_i a k_i -cycle. Thus we have the following:

Theorem 6.4. *Let W_i be a weak V -module for $i = 1, \dots, p$. Given $g \in S_k$ and a decomposition $g = hg'_1 \cdots g'_p h^{-1}$ as above, $h \circ (T_{g'_1}^{k_1}(W_1) \otimes \cdots \otimes T_{g'_p}^{k_p}(W_p))$ is a weak g -twisted $V^{\otimes k}$ -module. Moreover,*

- (1) *If each W_i is irreducible then $h \circ (T_{g'_1}^{k_1}(W_1) \otimes \cdots \otimes T_{g'_p}^{k_p}(W_p))$ is irreducible.*
- (2) *If each W_i is an admissible (resp., ordinary) V -module, then $h \circ (T_{g'_1}^{k_1}(W_1) \otimes \cdots \otimes T_{g'_p}^{k_p}(W_p))$ is an admissible (resp., ordinary) g -twisted $V^{\otimes k}$ -module.*
- (3) *If V is a rational vertex operator algebra, then $V^{\otimes k}$ is g -rational and all irreducible g -twisted modules are given by $h \circ (T_{g'_1}^{k_1}(W_1) \otimes \cdots \otimes T_{g'_p}^{k_p}(W_p))$ where W_i are irreducible V -modules.*

Proof. Parts (1) and (2) follow from Corollary 5.1 and Lemma 6.1. Part (3) follows from Corollary 5.1 and Proposition 6.2. $\square$

Remark 6.5. Note that in the Theorem above, we have not specified a unique decomposition $g = hg'_1 \cdots g'_p h^{-1}$ but rather have given the functor $h \circ (T_{g'_1}^{k_1} \otimes \cdots \otimes T_{g'_p}^{k_p})$ for a given such (non-unique) decomposition $g = hg'_1 \cdots g'_p h^{-1}$. However, for any decomposition $g = hg'_1 \cdots g'_p h^{-1}$, the resulting g -twisted $V^{\otimes k}$ -module $h \circ (T_{g'_1}^{k_1}(W_1) \otimes \cdots \otimes T_{g'_p}^{k_p}(W_p))$ is isomorphic to that obtained from any other decomposition. Similar to the symmetries discussed in Remarks 3.11 and 5.2 above, the isomorphism consists of transformations $\lim_{z^{1/k_i} \rightarrow \eta_{k_i}^{-j_i} z^{1/k_i}}$ of each i -th tensor factor in the g -twisted vertex operator where $\eta_{k_i} = e^{-2\pi i/k_i}$ and $j_i = 1, \dots, k_i - 1$.

7. TWISTED SECTORS FOR THE PRODUCT OF A PERMUTATION WITH AN AUTOMORPHISM OF V

In this section we give a slight generalization of our previous results to a broader class of automorphisms. Note that $\text{Aut}(V)$ acts on $V^{\otimes k}$ diagonally and this action commutes with the action of S_k . Let $\gamma \in \text{Aut}(V)$ and $g \in S_k$. In this section we determine various γg -twisted $V^{\otimes k}$ -module categories. (Although the diagonal action of γ on $V^{\otimes k}$ is more appropriately denoted by $\gamma^{\otimes k}$, to simplify notation, we will write this as γ with the diagonal action being understood.)

First, we assume that $g = (12 \cdots k)$ and show that suitable variants of all the arguments and results in Sections 3 and 4 remain valid in for the case of γg -twisted $V^{\otimes k}$ -module categories. Then we generalize the permutation g as we did in Sections 5 and 6 and show that again suitable variants of our arguments remain valid.

We proceed to formulate these results. Let $g = (12 \cdots k)$. For each positive integer n , set $\eta_n = e^{-2\pi i/n}$. Then $\eta_{n/m} = \eta_n^m$. Let $o(\gamma) = l$, and set $o(\gamma) = l = sd$ where d is the greatest common divisor of l and k . Furthermore, if we let $o(\gamma g) = n$, then $o(\gamma g) = n = sk$. Let (W, Y) be a weak γg -twisted $V^{\otimes k}$ -module. Then the analogue of equation (3.4) is

$$(7.1) \quad \begin{aligned} & z_0^{-1} \delta \left(\frac{z_1 - z_2}{z_0} \right) Y(u^1, z_1) Y(v^1, z_2) - z_0^{-1} \delta \left(\frac{z_2 - z_1}{-z_0} \right) Y(v^1, z_2) Y(u^1, z_1) \\ &= \frac{1}{n} z_2^{-1} \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} \delta \left(\eta_n^j \frac{(z_1 - z_0)^{1/n}}{z_2^{1/n}} \right) Y(Y(\gamma^j g^j u^1, z_0) v^1, z_2) \end{aligned}$$

for $u, v \in V$. Let u be an eigenvector for γ with eigenvalue η_l^r for some $r = 1, \dots, l$. Then $\gamma^j g^j u^1 = \eta_l^{rj} u^{j+1}$ where $u^{j+k} = u^j$. Thus similar to the situation in Section 3, $Y(\gamma^j g^j u^1, z_0) v^1$ only involves nonnegative integer powers of z_0 if $j \not\equiv 0 \pmod{k}$, and

$$(7.2) \quad \begin{aligned} & [Y(u^1, z_1), Y(v^1, z_2)] = \\ &= \sum_{q=0}^{s-1} \text{Res}_{z_0} \frac{1}{n} z_2^{-1} \delta \left(\eta_n^{kq} \frac{(z_1 - z_0)^{1/n}}{z_2^{1/n}} \right) Y(Y(\eta_l^{rkq} u^1, z_0) v^1, z_2) \\ &= \sum_{q=0}^{s-1} \text{Res}_{z_0} \frac{1}{n} z_2^{-1} \delta \left(\eta_s^q \frac{(z_1 - z_0)^{1/n}}{z_2^{1/n}} \right) \eta_s^{rqk/d} Y(Y(u^1, z_0) v^1, z_2) \\ &= \sum_{q=0}^{s-1} \sum_{p=0}^{n-1} \text{Res}_{z_0} \frac{1}{n} z_2^{-1} \eta_s^{qp} \left(\frac{z_1 - z_0}{z_2} \right)^{p/n} \delta \left(\frac{z_1 - z_0}{z_2} \right) \eta_s^{rqk/d} \\ & \quad Y(Y(u^1, z_0) v^1, z_2) \\ &= \sum_{q=0}^{s-1} \sum_{t=1}^k \text{Res}_{z_0} \frac{1}{sk} z_2^{-1} \left(\frac{z_1 - z_0}{z_2} \right)^{(ts-rk/d)/n} \delta \left(\frac{z_1 - z_0}{z_2} \right) \\ & \quad Y(Y(u^1, z_0) v^1, z_2) \\ &= \sum_{t=1}^k \text{Res}_{z_0} \frac{1}{k} z_2^{-1} \left(\frac{z_1 - z_0}{z_2} \right)^{t/k} \left(\frac{z_1 - z_0}{z_2} \right)^{-r/l} \delta \left(\frac{z_1 - z_0}{z_2} \right) \\ & \quad Y(Y(u^1, z_0) v^1, z_2) \\ &= \text{Res}_{z_0} \frac{1}{k} z_2^{-1} \left(\frac{z_1 - z_0}{z_2} \right)^{-r/l} \delta \left(\frac{(z_1 - z_0)^{1/k}}{z_2^{1/k}} \right) Y(Y(u^1, z_0) v^1, z_2). \end{aligned}$$

As in Section 3 this shows that for $u \in V$ the component operators of $Y(u^1, z)$ on W form a Lie algebra.

Let (M, Y) be a weak γ^k -twisted V -module. Set $\bar{Y}(u, z) = Y(\Delta_k(z)u, z^{1/k})$.

Lemma 7.1. *The assertion of Lemma 3.2 holds in the present setting: for $u \in V$*

$$\bar{Y}(L(-1)u, z) = \frac{d}{dz} \bar{Y}(u, z).$$

Proof. The steps of the proof for Lemma 3.2 still hold in the present setting for (M, Y) a γ^k -twisted V -module rather than just a V -module. $\square$

The analogue of Lemma 3.3 is

Lemma 7.2. *For $u, v \in V$ such that $\gamma u = \eta_l^r u$, we have*

(7.3)

$$[\bar{Y}(u, z_1), \bar{Y}(v, z_2)] = \text{Res}_{z_0} \frac{1}{k} z_2^{-1} \left(\frac{z_1 - z_0}{z_2} \right)^{-r/l} \delta \left(\frac{(z_1 - z_0)^{1/k}}{z_2^{1/k}} \right) \bar{Y}(Y(u, z_0)v, z_2).$$

Proof. From the twisted Jacobi identity, we have

$$(7.4) \quad [Y(u, z_1), Y(v, z_2)] = \text{Res}_x z_2^{-1} \left(\frac{z_1 - x}{z_2} \right)^{-r/l} \delta \left(\frac{z_1 - x}{z_2} \right) Y(Y(u, x)v, z_2)$$

for $u \in V$ such that $\gamma u = \eta_l^r u$. Following the steps of the proof of Lemma 3.3 using the commutator formula (7.4) instead of (3.10) gives (7.3). $\square$

For $u \in V$ such that $\gamma u = \eta_l^r$ define operators $Y_{\gamma g}$ on $V^{\otimes k}$ by

$$(7.5) \quad Y_{\gamma g}(u^1, z) = \bar{Y}(u, z) = Y(\Delta_k(z)u, z^{1/k})$$

$$(7.6) \quad \eta_l^{rj} Y_{\gamma g}(u^{j+1}, z) = \lim_{z^{1/n} \rightarrow \eta_n^{-j} z^{1/n}} Y(u^1, z)$$

for $j = 0, \dots, k-1$. Then as before, the operators $Y_{\gamma g}(u^j, z)$ for $u \in V$ and $j = 1, \dots, k$ are mutually local and generate a local system A in the sense of [Li2]. Let σ be a map from A to A such that $\sigma Y_{\gamma g}(u^j, z) = \eta_l^{-r} Y_{\gamma g}(u^{j+1}, z)$ for $u \in V$ satisfying $\gamma u = \eta_l^r u$, and for $j = 1, \dots, k$. Again A has the structure of a vertex algebra (A, Y_A) and σ extends to an automorphism of A of order n such that M is naturally a weak σ -twisted A -module in the sense that $Y(\alpha(z), z_1) = \alpha(z_1)$ for $\alpha(z) \in A$.

Lemma 7.3. *The assertions of Lemmas 3.6, 3.7 and 3.8 hold for $Y_{\gamma g}$ and the corresponding local system.*

Proof. The steps of the proofs of Lemmas 3.6, 3.7 and 3.8 remain valid in the present setting. $\square$

Let (M, Y) be a weak γ^k -twisted V -module. Define

$$T_{\gamma g}^k(M, Y) = (T_{\gamma g}^k(M), Y_{\gamma g}) = (M, Y_{\gamma g}).$$

That is $T_{\gamma g}^k(M, Y)$ is M as the underlying vector space and the vertex operator $Y_{\gamma g}$ is given by (7.5) and (7.6).

Now repeating the proof of Theorem 3.9 under the present circumstances, we have the following result which is a generalization of Theorem 3.9.

Theorem 7.4. *$(T_{\gamma g}^k(M), Y_{\gamma g})$ is a weak γg -twisted $V^{\otimes k}$ -module such that $T_{\gamma g}^k(M) = M$ and $Y_{\gamma g}$, defined by (7.5) and (7.6), is the linear map from $V^{\otimes n}$ to*

$$(\text{End } T_{\gamma g}^k(M))[[z^{1/n}, z^{-1/n}]]$$

defining the twisted module structure where $n = o(\gamma g)$. Moreover,

- (1) *(M, Y) is an irreducible weak γ^k -twisted V -module if and only if $(T_{\gamma g}^k(M), Y_{\gamma g})$ is an irreducible weak γg -twisted $V^{\otimes k}$ -module.*
- (2) *M is an admissible γ^k -twisted V -module if and only if $T_{\gamma g}^k(M)$ is an admissible γg -twisted $V^{\otimes k}$ -module.*
- (3) *M is an ordinary γ^k -twisted V -module if and only if $T_{\gamma g}^k(M)$ is an ordinary γg -twisted $V^{\otimes k}$ -module.*

Let

$$\begin{aligned} T_{\gamma g}^k : \mathcal{C}_w^{\gamma^k}(V) &\longrightarrow \mathcal{C}_w^{\gamma g}(V^{\otimes k}) \\ (M, Y) &\mapsto (T_{\gamma g}^k(M), Y_{\gamma g}) = (M, Y_{\gamma g}) \\ f &\mapsto T_{\gamma g}^k(f) = f \end{aligned}$$

for (M, Y) an object and f a morphism in $\mathcal{C}_w^{\gamma^k}(V)$. We have the following corollary to Theorem 7.4.

Corollary 7.5. *$T_{\gamma g}^k$ is a functor from the category $\mathcal{C}_w^{\gamma^k}(V)$ to the category $\mathcal{C}_w^{\gamma g}(V^{\otimes k})$ such that: (1) $T_{\gamma g}^k$ preserves irreducible objects; (2) The restrictions of $T_{\gamma g}^k$ to $\mathcal{C}_a^{\gamma^k}(V)$ and $\mathcal{C}^{\gamma^k}(V)$ are functors from $\mathcal{C}_a^{\gamma^k}(V)$ and $\mathcal{C}^{\gamma^k}(V)$ to $\mathcal{C}_a^{\gamma g}(V^{\otimes k})$ and $\mathcal{C}^{\gamma g}(V^{\otimes k})$, respectively.*

Note that if γ is the identity, Theorem 7.4 and Corollary 7.5 reduce to Theorem 3.9 and Corollary 3.10, respectively.

Now let $M = (M, Y_{\gamma g})$ be a weak γg -twisted $V^{\otimes k}$ -module. In analogy to Section 4, we set $U_{\gamma g}^k(M) = M$ and define $Y_U(u, z) = Y_{\gamma g}((\Delta_k(z^k)^{-1}u)^1, z^k)$ for $u \in V$ to be the unique power series obtained by letting $(z^k)^{1/k} = z$. Lemma 4.1 remains valid in this case and Lemma 4.2 is modified as follows:

Lemma 7.6. *Let $u, v \in V$ such that $\gamma u = \eta_l^r$. Then on $U_{\gamma g}^k(M)$,*

(7.7)

$$[Y_U(u, z_1), Y_U(v, z_2)] = \text{Res}_{z_0} z_2^{-1} \left(\frac{z_1 - z_0}{z_2} \right)^{-rk/l} \delta \left(\frac{z_1 - z_0}{z_2} \right) Y_U(Y(u, z_0)v, z_2).$$

Proof. The γg -twisted vertex operators satisfy

$$(7.8) \quad [Y_{\gamma g}(u^1, z_1), Y_{\gamma g}(v^1, z_2)]$$

$$= \text{Res}_{z_0} \frac{1}{k} z_2^{-1} \left(\frac{z_1 - z_0}{z_2} \right)^{-r/l} \delta \left(\frac{(z_1 - z_0)^{1/k}}{z_2^{1/k}} \right) Y_{\gamma g}(Y(u^1, z_0)v^1, z_2).$$

Following the steps for the proof of Lemma 4.2 using (7.8) instead of (4.2) gives (7.7). $\square$

Repeating the proof of Theorem 4.3 in the present setting gives:

Theorem 7.7. *With the notations as above, $(U_{\gamma g}^k(M), Y_U)$ is a weak γ^k -twisted V -module.*

Thus we have the following analogue of Theorem 4.4.

Theorem 7.8. *$U_{\gamma g}^k$ is a functor from the category $\mathcal{C}_w^{\gamma g}(V^{\otimes k})$ of weak γg -twisted $V^{\otimes k}$ -modules to the category $\mathcal{C}_w^{\gamma^k}(V)$ of weak γ^k -twisted V -modules such that $T_{\gamma g}^k \circ U_{\gamma g}^k = \text{id}_{\mathcal{C}_w^{\gamma g}(V^{\otimes k})}$ and $U_{\gamma g}^k \circ T_{\gamma g}^k = \text{id}_{\mathcal{C}_w^{\gamma^k}(V)}$. In particular, the categories $\mathcal{C}_w^{\gamma g}(V^{\otimes k})$ and $\mathcal{C}_w^{\gamma^k}(V)$ are isomorphic. Moreover,*

(1) *The restrictions of $T_{\gamma g}^k$ and $U_{\gamma g}^k$ to the category of admissible V -modules $\mathcal{C}_a^{\gamma^k}(V)$ and to the category of admissible γg -twisted $V^{\otimes k}$ -modules $\mathcal{C}_a^{\gamma g}(V^{\otimes k})$, respectively, give category isomorphisms. In particular, V is γ^k -rational if and only if $V^{\otimes k}$ is γg -rational.*

(2) The restrictions of $T_{\gamma g}^k$ and $U_{\gamma g}^k$ to the category of ordinary V -modules $\mathcal{C}^{\gamma^k}(V)$ and to the category of ordinary γg -twisted $V^{\otimes k}$ -modules $\mathcal{C}^{\gamma g}(V^{\otimes k})$, respectively, give category isomorphisms.

Recalling the conjugation functor h from Section 5, and again noting that the diagonal action of γ on $V^{\otimes k}$ commutes with that of the symmetric group so that $\gamma h g h^{-1} = h \gamma g h^{-1}$, we have the following corollary to Theorem 7.8.

Corollary 7.9. *Let $g' \in S_k$ be a k -cycle, let $g = (12 \cdots k)$ and let h_1 be the unique element of S_k that fixes 1 and satisfies $g' = h_1 g h_1^{-1}$. Then we have the following isomorphism of categories*

$$\begin{aligned} T_{\gamma g'}^k &= h_1 \circ T_{\gamma g}^k : \mathcal{C}_w^{\gamma^k}(V) \longrightarrow \mathcal{C}_w^{\gamma g'}(V^{\otimes k}) \\ (M, Y) &\mapsto (T_{\gamma g'}^k(M), Y_{\gamma g'}) = (M, Y_{h_1 \gamma g h_1^{-1}}) \end{aligned}$$

where

$$Y_{h_1 \gamma g h_1^{-1}}(v, z) = Y_{\gamma g}(h_1 v, z)$$

and $Y_{\gamma g}$ is uniquely determined by (7.5) and (7.6). Moreover, $T_{\gamma g'}$ preserves irreducible, admissible and ordinary objects.

Finally we deal with the case γg when g is an arbitrary permutation in S_k . As in Section 6 we write g as a product of disjoint cycles $g = h g'_1 \cdots g'_p h^{-1}$ where the order of g'_i is k_i such that $\sum_i k_i = k$ and where g_i permutes the numbers $(\sum_{j=1}^{i-1} k_j) + 1, (\sum_{j=1}^{i-1} k_j) + 2, \dots, \sum_{j=1}^i k_j$. The following theorem generalizes Theorem 6.4.

Theorem 7.10. *Let W_i be a weak γ^{k_i} -twisted V -module for $i = 1, \dots, p$. Given $g \in S_k$ and a decomposition $g = h g'_1 \cdots g'_p h^{-1}$ as above, $h \circ (T_{\gamma g'_1}^{k_1}(W_1) \otimes \cdots \otimes T_{\gamma g'_p}^{k_p}(W_p))$ is a weak γg -twisted $V^{\otimes k}$ -module. Moreover,*

- (1) *If each W_i is irreducible, then $h \circ (T_{\gamma g'_1}^{k_1}(W_1) \otimes \cdots \otimes T_{\gamma g'_p}^{k_p}(W_p))$ is irreducible.*
- (2) *If each W_i is an admissible (resp., ordinary) V -module, then $h \circ (T_{\gamma g'_1}^{k_1}(W_1) \otimes \cdots \otimes T_{\gamma g'_p}^{k_p}(W_p))$ is an admissible (resp., ordinary) γg -twisted $V^{\otimes k}$ -module.*
- (3) *If V is a γ^{k_i} -rational vertex operator algebra for $i = 1, \dots, p$, then $V^{\otimes k}$ is γg -rational and all irreducible γg -twisted modules are given by $h \circ (T_{\gamma g'_1}^{k_1}(W_1) \otimes \cdots \otimes T_{\gamma g'_p}^{k_p}(W_p))$ where W_i are irreducible γ^{k_i} -twisted V -modules.*

Of course the analogous symmetries discussed in Remark 5.2 and Remark 6.5 hold for Corollary 7.9 and Theorem 7.10, respectively.

REFERENCES

- [Ba] P. Bantay, Characters and modular properties of permutation orbifolds, hep-th/9708120.
- [Bo] R. E. Borcherds, Vertex algebras, Kac-Moody algebras, and the Monster, *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* **83** (1986), 3068-3071.
- [BHS] L. Borisov, M.B. Halpern and C. Schweigert, Systematic approach to cyclic orbifolds, hep-th/9701061.
- [DHVW1] L.J. Dixon, J.A. Harvey, C. Vafa, and E. Witten, Strings on orbifolds, *Nucl. Phys. B* **261** (1985) 678.
- [DHVW2] L.J. Dixon, J.A. Harvey, C. Vafa, and E. Witten, Strings on orbifolds, II, *Nucl. Phys. B* **274** (1986) 285.
- [DVVV] R. Dijkgraaf, C. Vafa, E. Verlinde and H. Verlinde, The operator algebra of orbifold models, *Comm. Math. Phys.* **123** (1989), 485-526.

- [DMVV] R. Dijkgraaf, G. Moore, E. Verlinde and H. Verlinde, Elliptic genera of symmetric products and second quantized strings, *Comm. Math. Phys.* **185** (1997), 197.
- [D] C. Dong, Twisted modules for vertex algebras associated with even lattices, *J. Algebra* **165** (1994), 90-112.
- [DL1] C. Dong and J. Lepowsky, *Generalized Vertex Algebras and Relative Vertex Operators*, Progress in Math. Vol. 112, Birkhäuser, Boston, 1993.
- [DL2] C. Dong and J. Lepowsky, The algebraic structure of relative twisted vertex operators, *J. Pure Appl. Algebra* **110** (1996), no. 3, 259-295.
- [DLM1] C. Dong, H. Li and G. Mason, Regularity of rational vertex operator algebras, *Adv. in Math.* **132** (1997), 148-166.
- [DLM2] C. Dong, H. Li and G. Mason, Twisted representations of vertex operator algebras, *Math. Ann.* **310** (1998), 571-600.
- [DLM3] C. Dong, H. Li and G. Mason, Modular invariance of trace functions in orbifold theory, [q-alg/9703016](#).
- [DM] C. Dong and G. Mason, Nonabelian orbifolds and boson-fermion correspondence, *Comm. Math. Phys.* **163** (1994), 523-559.
- [FFR] A. J. Feingold, I. B. Frenkel and J. F. X. Ries, *Spinor Construction of Vertex Operator Algebras, Triality and $E_8^{(1)}$* , Contemp. Math. **121**, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, 1991.
- [FHL] I. B. Frenkel, Y. Huang and J. Lepowsky, On axiomatic approaches to vertex operator algebras and modules, *Memoirs American Math. Soc.* **104**, 1993.
- [FLM1] I. B. Frenkel, J. Lepowsky and A. Meurman, A natural representation of the Fischer-Griess Monster with the modular function J as character, *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* **81** (1984), 3256-3260.
- [FLM2] I. B. Frenkel, J. Lepowsky and A. Meurman, Vertex operator calculus, in: *Mathematical Aspects of String Theory, Proc. 1986 Conference, San Diego*. ed. by S.-T. Yau, World Scientific, Singapore, 1987, 150-188.
- [FLM3] I. B. Frenkel, J. Lepowsky and A. Meurman, *Vertex Operator Algebras and the Monster*, Pure and Applied Math., Vol. 134, Academic Press, 1988.
- [FKS] J. Fuchs, A. Klemm and M.G. Schmidt, *Ann. Phys.* **214** (1992), 221.
- [GKO] P. Goddard, A. Kent and D. Olive, Unitary representations of the Virasoro Algebra and super-Virasoro algebras *Comm. Math. Phys.* **103** (1986), 105-119.
- [H] Y. Huang, *Two Dimensional Conformal Geometry and Vertex Operator Algebras*, Progress in Math. Vol. 148, Birkhäuser, Boston, 1997.
- [KS] A. Klemm and M.G. Schmidt, *Phys. Lett.* **B245** (1990), 53.
- [Le1] J. Lepowsky, Calculus of twisted vertex operators, *Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci. USA* **82** (1985), no. 24, 8295-8299.
- [Le2] J. Lepowsky, Perspectives on vertex operators and the Monster, in: *Proc. 1987 Symposium on the Mathematical Heritage of Hermann Weyl, Duke Univ., Proc. Symp. Pure Math., American Math. Soc.*, **48** (1988).
- [Li1] H. Li, An approach to tensor product theory for representations of a vertex operator algebra, Ph.D. thesis, Rutgers University, 1994.
- [Li2] H. Li, Local systems of twisted vertex operators, vertex superalgebras and twisted modules, *Contemporary Math.* **193** (1996), 203-236.
- [Z] Y.-C. Zhu, Modular invariance of characters of vertex operator algebras, *J. Amer. Math. Soc.* **9** (1996), 237-302.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA, SANTA CRUZ, CA 95064
E-mail address: kbarron@math.ucsc.edu

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA, SANTA CRUZ, CA 95064
E-mail address: dong@math.ucsc.edu

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA, SANTA CRUZ, CA 95064
E-mail address: gem@math.ucsc.edu